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## 1. Executive Summary

Pursuant to Attachment Z of the Southwest Power Pool Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT), 1295 MW of long-term transmission service requests have been restudied in this final Aggregate Facility Study (AFS). This phase of the AFS consists of revisions to reflect the withdrawal of requests after the AFS was posted on June 2nd, 2006. The principal objective of the AFS is to identify system problems and potential modifications necessary to facilitate these transfers while maintaining or improving system reliability as well as summarizing the operating limits and determination of the financial characteristics associated with facility upgrades. Facility upgrade costs are allocated on a prorated basis to all requests positively impacting any individual overloaded facility. Further, Attachment Z provides for facility upgrade cost recovery by stating that "[a]ny charges paid by a customer in excess of the transmission access charges in compensation for the revenue requirements for allocated facility upgrade(s) shall be recovered by such customer from future transmission service revenues until the customer has been fully compensated."

The total assigned facility upgrade Engineering and Construction (E \&C) cost determined by this AFS restudy is $\$ 245,949,354$. Additionally $\$ 0$ of assigned E \& C cost for $3^{\text {rd }}$ party facility upgrades are assignable to the customer. The total upgrade levelized revenue requirement for all transmission requests is $\$ 1,097,510,963$. This is based on full allocation of levelized revenue requirements for upgrades to customers without consideration of base plan funding. The AFS data tables reflect the full allocation of upgrade costs to customers based on either the requested reservation period, the deferred reservation period without interim redispatch, or the reservation period with
interim redispatch if applicable based on customer intention to pursue redispatch agreements. Total upgrade levelized revenue requirements for all transmission requests after consideration of potential base plan funding is $\$ 738,246,994$. For those customers who have chosen to pursue redispatch in lieu of deferral of start of service, levelized revenue requirements will be based upon the deferred start date with redispatch. Redispatch was evaluated to provide only interim service during the time frame prior to completion of any assigned network upgrades.

Third-party facilities must be upgraded when it is determined they are constrained in order to accommodate the requested Transmission Service. These include both first-tier neighboring facilities outside SPP and Transmission Owner facilities within SPP that are not under the SPP OATT. In this AFS, 0 third-party facilities were identified. Total engineering and construction cost estimates for required third-party facility upgrades are \$0.

The posting of this study will open a 15-day window for Customer response. To remain in this Aggregate Transmission Service Study (ATSS), the Customer should select Option \#1 on the Letter of Intent sent concurrently with the posting of this Facility Study. Otherwise, if the customer chooses to withdraw from this ATSS, Customer should select Option \#2 on the Letter of Intent. This will result in SPP ANNULING the OASIS request and no further study of this request will occur.

The Customer's course of action as indicated by the Letter of Intent must be received by the Transmission Provider by August $5^{\text {th }}$, 2006, otherwise the request will be determined as withdrawn and no further study of the request will occur.

At the conclusion of this ATSS, Service Agreements for each request for service will be tendered to the Customer. For requests requiring Network Upgrades, the full allocation of revenue requirements for facility upgrades will be assigned to the Customer contingent
upon verification of designated resources meeting Attachment J, Section III B criteria for base plan funding.

After receipt of a Service Agreement from the Transmission Provider, the Customer shall have 15 days to execute a Service Agreement or request the filing of an unexecuted Service Agreement or the request will be deemed terminated and withdrawn. Agreements for generation redispatch in lieu of deferral of start of service must be negotiated by the Transmission Customer and generation owner with a copy of the agreement provided to SPP prior to execution of the Service Agreement.

If customers withdraw from the ATSS after posting of this AFS, the AFS will be reperformed to determine final cost allocation and Available Transmission Capability (ATC) in consideration of the remaining ATSS participants. All allocated revenue requirements for facility upgrades are assigned to the customer in the AFS data tables. Potential base plan funding allowable is contingent upon final approval of designated resources meeting Attachment J, Section III B criteria.

## 2. Introduction

On January 21, 2005, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission accepted Southwest Power Pool's proposed aggregate transmission study procedures in Docket ER05-109 to become effective February 1, 2005. The proposed cost allocation and cost recovery provisions were accepted for filing and suspended to become effective the earlier of five months from the requested effective date (July 1, 2005) or a further order of the Commission in the proceeding subject to refund. Since that time, the cost allocation and cost recovery provisions have been accepted with modification. The following link can be used to access the SPP Regulatory/FERC webpage:
(http://www.spp.org/Objects/FERC_filings.cfm). The hyperlinks under the heading ER05-109 (Attach Z Filing) open Southwest Power Pool’s October 29, 2004 filing
containing Attachment Z to the SPP OATT and the Commission’s January 21, 2005 Order. In compliance with this Order, the third open season commenced on October 1, 2005. All requests for long-term transmission service received prior to February 1, 2006 with a signed study agreement were then included in the third Aggregate Transmission Service Study (ATSS).

Approximately 1295MW of long-term transmission service has been restudied in this Aggregate Facility Study (AFS) with over $\$ 245$ Million in transmission upgrades being proposed. The results of the AFS are detailed in Tables 1 through 6. A highly tangible benefit of studying transmission requests aggregately under the SPP OATT Attachment Z is the sharing of costs among customers using the same facility. The detailed results show individual upgrade costs by study as well as potential base plan allowances as determined by Attachments J and Z. The following link can be used to access the SPP OATT: (http://www.spp.org/Publications/SPP_Tariff.pdf). In order to understand the extent to which base plan upgrades may be applied to both point-to-point and network transmission services, it is necessary to highlight the definition of Designated Resource. Per Section 1.9a of the SPP OATT, a Designated Resource is "[a]ny designated generation resource owned, purchased or leased by a Transmission Customer to serve load in the SPP Region. Designated Resources do not include any resource, or any portion thereof, that is committed for sale to third parties or otherwise cannot be called upon to meet the Transmission Customer's load on a non-interruptible basis." Therefore, not only network service, but also point-to-point service has potential for base plan funding if the conditions for classifying upgrades associated with designated resources as base plan upgrades as defined in Section III.B of Attachment J are met.

Pursuant to Attachment J, Section III B of the SPP OATT, the Transmission Customer must provide SPP information necessary to verify that the new or changed Designated Resource meets the following conditions:

1. Transmission Customer's commitment to the requested new or changed Designated Resource must have a duration of at least five years.
2. During the first year the Designated Resource is planned to be used by the Transmission Customer, the accredited capacity of the Transmission Customer's existing Designated Resources plus the lesser of (a) the planned maximum net dependable capacity applicable to the Transmission Customer or (b) the requested capacity; shall not exceed $125 \%$ of the Transmission Customer's projected system peak responsibility determined pursuant to SPP Criteria 2.

According to Attachment Z Section VI.A, Point-to-Point customers pay the higher of the monthly transmission access charge (base rate) or the monthly revenue requirement associated with the assigned facility upgrades including any prepayments for redispatch required during construction.

Network Integration Service customers pay the total monthly transmission access charges and the monthly revenue requirement associated with the facility upgrades including any prepayments for redispatch during construction.

Transmission Customers paying for a directly assigned network upgrade shall receive credits for new transmission service using the facility as specified in Attachment Z Section VII.

Facilities identified as limiting the requested Transmission Service have been reviewed to determine the required in-service date of each Network Upgrade. The year that each Network Upgrade is required to accommodate a request is determined by interpolating between the applicable model years given the respective loading data. Both previously assigned facilities and the facilities assigned to this request for Transmission Service were evaluated.

In some instances due to lead times for engineering and construction, Network Upgrades may not be available when required to accommodate a request for Transmission Service. When this occurs, the ATC with available Network Upgrades will be less than the capacity requested during either a portion of or all of the requested reservation period. As a result, the lowest seasonal allocated ATC within the requested reservation period will be offered to the Transmission Customer on an applicable annual basis as listed in Table 1. The ATC may be limited by transmission owner planned projects, expansion plan projects, or customer assigned upgrades.

Some constraints identified in the AFS were not assigned to the Customer as the Transmission Provider determined that upgrades are not required due to various reasons or the Transmission Owner has construction plans pending for these upgrades. These facilities are listed by reservation in Table 3. This table also includes constrained facilities in the current planning horizon that limit the rollover rights of the Transmission Customer. Table 6 lists possible redispatch pairs to allow start of service prior to completion of assigned network upgrades.

## A. Financial Analysis

The AFS utilizes the allocated customer E \& C cost in a present worth analysis to determine the monthly levelized revenue requirement of each facility upgrade over the term of the reservation. In some cases, network upgrades cannot be completed within the requested reservation period, thus deferred reservation periods will be utilized in the present worth analysis. The upgrade levelized revenue requirement includes interest, depreciation, and carrying costs.

Each request for Transmission Service is evaluated independently as the cost associated with each Network Upgrade is assigned to a request. When facilities are upgraded
throughout the reservation period, the Transmission Customer shall 1) pay the total E \& C costs and other annual operating costs associated with the new facilities, and 2) receive credits associated with the depreciated book value of removed usable facilities, salvage value of removed non-usable facilities, and the carrying charges, excluding depreciation, associated with all removed usable facilities based on their respective book values.

In the event that the engineering and construction of a previously assigned Network Upgrade may be expedited, with no additional upgrades, to accommodate a new request for Transmission Service, then the levelized present worth of only the incremental expenses though the reservation period of the new request, excluding depreciation, shall be assigned to the new request. These incremental expenses, excluding depreciation, include 1) the levelized difference in present worth of the engineering and construction expenses given the change in date to complete construction to account for additional interest expense and reduced engineering and construction expense due to inflation, 2) the
levelized present worth of all expediting fees, and 3) the levelized present worth of the incremental annual carrying charges, excluding depreciation and interest, during the new reservation period taking into account both a) the reservation in which the project was originally assigned, and b) a reservation, if any, in which the project was previously expedited.

## B. Third-Party Facilities

For third-party facilities listed in Table 3 and Table 5, the Transmission Customer is responsible for funding the necessary upgrades of these facilities per Section 21.1 of the Transmission Provider’s OATT. In this AFS, 0 third-party facilities were identified. Total engineering and construction cost estimates for required third-party facility upgrades are \$0. The Transmission Provider will undertake reasonable efforts to assist the

Transmission Customer in making arrangements for necessary engineering, permitting, and construction of the third-party facilities. Third-party facility upgrade engineering and construction cost estimates are not utilized to determine the present worth value of levelized revenue requirements for SPP system network upgrades.

All modeled facilities within the Transmission Provider system were monitored during the development of this Study as well as certain facilities in first-tier neighboring systems. Third-party facilities must be upgraded when it is determined that they are overloaded while accommodating the requested Transmission Service. These facilities also include those owned by members of the Transmission Provider who have not placed their facilities under the Transmission Provider's OATT.

## 3. Study Methodology

## A. Description

The system impact analysis was conducted to determine the steady-state impact of the requested service on the SPP and first tier Non - SPP control area systems. The steadystate analysis was done to ensure current SPP Criteria and NERC Reliability Standards requirements are fulfilled. The Southwest Power Pool conforms to the NERC Reliability Standards, which provide the strictest requirements, related to voltage violations and thermal overloads during normal conditions and during a contingency. It requires that all facilities be within normal operating ratings for normal system conditions and within emergency ratings after a contingency. Normal operating ratings and emergency operating ratings monitored are Rate A and B in the SPP MDWG models, respectively. The upper bound and lower bound of the normal voltage range monitored is $105 \%$ and $95 \%$. The upper bound and lower bound of the emergency voltage range monitored is $110 \%$ and $90 \%$. The SPS Tuco 230 kV bus voltage is monitored at $92.5 \%$ due to predetermined system stability limitations.

The contingency set includes all SPP control area branches and ties 69 kV and above, first tier Non - SPP control area branches and ties 115 kV and above, any defined contingencies for these control areas, and generation unit outages for the control areas with SPP reserve share program redispatch. The monitor elements include all SPP control area branches, ties, and buses 69 kV and above, and all first tier Non - SPP control area branches and ties 69 kV and above. Voltage monitoring was performed for SPP control area buses 69 kV and above.

A 3 \% transfer distribution factor (TDF) cutoff was applied to all SPP control area facilities. For first tier Non - SPP control area facilities, a 3 \% TDF cutoff was applied to AECI, AMRN, and ENTR and a 2 \% TDF cutoff was applied to MEC, NPPD, and OPPD. For voltage monitoring, a 0.02 per unit change in voltage must occur due to the transfer or modeling upgrades to be considered a valid limit to the transfer.

## B. Model Development

SPP used fifteen seasonal models to study the aggregate transfers of 1295 MW over a variety of requested service periods. The SPP MDWG 2006 Series Cases Update 12006 Summer Peak (06SP), 2006 Summer Shoulder (06SH), 2006 Fall Peak (06FA), 2006/07 Winter Peak (06WP), 2007 April Minimum (07AP), 2007 Spring Peak (07G), 2007 Summer Peak (07SP), 2007Summer Shoulder (07SH), 2007 Fall Peak (07FA), 2007/08 Winter Peak (07WP), 2008 Summer Peak (08SP), 2008/09 Winter Peak (08WP), 2011 Summer Peak (11SP), 2011/12 Winter Peak (11WP), and 2016 Summer Peak (16SP) were used to study the impact of the requested service on the transmission system. The Spring Peak models apply to April and May, the Summer Peak models apply to June through September, the Fall Peak models apply to October and November, and the Winter Peak models apply to December through March.

The chosen base case models were modified to reflect the most current modeling information. Four groups of requests were developed from the aggregate of 1295 MW in order to minimize counterflows among requested service. Each request was included in two to four groups depending on the requested path. From the thirteen seasonal models, three system scenarios were developed. Scenario 1 includes SWPP OASIS transmission requests not already included in the SPP 2006 Series Cases flowing in a West to East direction with ERCOT exporting and SPS exporting to outside zones and exporting to the Lamar HVDC Tie. Scenario 2 includes transmission requests not already included in the SPP 2006 Series Cases flowing in an East to West direction with ERCOT net importing and SPS importing from an outside zone and exporting to the Lamar HVDC Tie. Scenario 3 includes transmission requests not already included in the SPP 2006 Series Cases flowing in a West to East direction with ERCOT net importing and SPS importing from an outside zone and importing from the Lamar HVDC Tie. Scenario 4 includes transmission requests not already included in the SPP 2006 Series Cases flowing in a North to South direction with ERCOT importing and SPS importing from outside zones and importing from the Lamar HVDC tie. The system scenarios were developed to minimize counter flows from previously confirmed, higher priority requests not included in the MDWG Base Case.

## C. Transfer Analysis

Using the selected cases both with and without the requested transfers modeled, the PSS/E Activity ACCC was run on the cases and compared to determine the facility overloads caused or impacted by the transfer. Transfer distribution factor cutoffs (SPP and $1^{\text {st }}$-Tier) and voltage threshold ( 0.02 change below 0.90 pu ) were applied to
determine the impacted facilities. The PSS/E options chosen to conduct the analysis can be found in Appendix A.

## D. Curtailment and Redispatch Evaluation

During any period when SPP determines that a transmission constraint exists on the Transmission System, and such constraint may impair the reliability of the Transmission System, SPP will take whatever actions that are reasonably necessary to maintain the reliability of the Transmission System. To the extent SPP determines that the reliability of the Transmission System can be maintained by redispatching resources, SPP will evaluate curtailment of existing confirmed service or interim redispatch of units to provide service prior to completion of any assigned network upgrades. Any redispatch may not unduly discriminate between the Transmission Owners' use of the Transmission System on behalf of their Native Load Customers and any Transmission Customer’s use of the Transmission System to serve its designated load. Redispatch was evaluated to provide only interim service during the time frame prior to completion of any assigned network upgrades.

SPP determined potential relief pairs to relieve the incremental MW impact on limiting facilities as identified in Table 6. Using the selected cases where the limiting facilities were identified, potential incremental and decremental units were identified by determining the generation amount available for increasing and decreasing from the units generation amount, maximum generation amount, and minimum generation amount. If the incremental or decremental amount was greater than 1 MW , the unit was considered as a potential incremental or decremental unit. Generation shift factors were calculated for the potential incremental and decremental units using Managing and Utilizing System Transmission (MUST). From the generation shift factors for the incremental and decremental units, top 100 relief pairs with a greater than 3\% TDF were determined from the incremental units with the lowest generation shift factors and decremental units with
highest generation shift factors. The potential relief pairs were evaluated to determine impacts on limiting facilities in the SPP and 1st-Tier systems. The redispatch requirements would be called upon prior to implementing NERC TLR Level 5a.

## 4. Study Results

## A. Study Analysis Results

Tables 1 through 6 contain the steady-state analysis results of the ASIS. Table 1 identifies the participating long-term transmission service requests included in the AFS. This table lists deferred start and stop dates both with and without redispatch (Based on customer selection to pursue redispatch from letter agreement option), the minimum annual allocated ATC without upgrades and season of first impact. Table 2 identifies total E \& C cost allocated to each Transmission Customer, letter of credit requirements, third party E \& C cost assignments, potential base plan E \& C funding (lower of allocated E \& C or Attachment J Section III B criteria), total revenue requirements for assigned upgrades without consideration of potential base plan funding, point-to-point base rate charge, total revenue requirements for assigned upgrades with consideration of potential base plan funding, and final total cost allocation to the Transmission Customer. Table 3 provides additional details for each request including all assigned facility upgrades required, allocated E \& C costs, allocated revenue requirements for upgrades, upgrades not assigned to customer but required for service to be confirmed, facilities limiting rollover rights, credits to be paid for previously assigned AFS facility upgrades, and any third party upgrades required. This includes the season in the planning horizon where rollover rights are limited. Table 4 lists all upgrade requirements with associated solutions needed to provide transmission service for the AFS, Minimum ATC per upgrade with season of impact, Earliest Date Upgrade is required (COD), Estimated Date of Upgrade Completion (EOC), and Estimated E \& C cost. Table 5 lists identified ThirdParty constrained facilities. Table 6 identifies potential redispatch pairs available to
relieve the aggregate impacts on identified constraints to prevent deferral of start of service.

Potential base plan funding allowable is contingent upon meeting each of the conditions for classifying upgrades associated with designated resources as base plan upgrades as defined in Section III.B of Attachment J. The lesser of the planned maximum net dependable capacity or the requested capacity is multiplied by $\$ 180,000$ to determine potential base plan funding allowable. If this additional capacity exceeds the 125\% resource to load criteria for a given year, the value of capacity not exceeding 125\% of load will set the determinant for base plan funding consideration. For example, a customer submits a request to add a new resource of 50MW in 2010 that meets all other conditions for base plan funding. The Customer's load forecast for 2010 is 500MW with forecasted firm resources of 600MW. The additional 50MW of resources increases the resource to load ratio from $120 \%$ to $130 \%$. Therefore the E \& C cost for that portion of the 50MW request not exceeding $125 \%$ resource to load, or 25 MW , would be compared to the $\mathrm{E} \& \mathrm{C}$ cost for the full 50MW to determine a prorata share of the cost that can be covered by base plan funding. Any allocated customer costs in excess of base plan funding will be assigned to the customer.

Regarding application of base plan funding for PTP requests, if PTP base rate exceeds upgrade revenue requirements without taking into effect the reduction of revenue requirements by potential base plan funding, then the base rate revenue pays back the Transmission Owner for upgrades and no base plan funding is applicable as the access charge must be paid as it is the higher of "OR" pricing.
However, if initially the upgrade revenue requirements exceed the PTP base rate, then potential base plan funding would be applicable. The test of the higher of "OR" pricing
would then be made against the remaining assignable revenue requirements versus PTP base rate. Examples are as follows:

## Example A:

E \& C allocated for upgrades is 74 million with revenue requirements of 140 million and PTP base rate of 101 million. Potential base plan funding is 47 million with the difference of 27 million E \& C assignable to the customer. If the revenue requirements for the assignable portion is 54 million and the PTP base rate is 101 million, the customer will pay the higher "OR" pricing of 101 million base rate of which 54 million revenue requirements will be paid back to the Transmission Owners for the upgrades and the remaining revenue requirements of (140-54) or 86 million will be paid by base plan funding.

## Example B:

E \& C allocated for upgrades is 74 million with revenue requirements of 140 million and PTP base rate of 101 million. Potential base plan funding is 10 million with the difference of 64 million E \& C assignable to the customer. If the revenue requirements for this assignable portion is 128 million and the PTP base rate is 101 million the customer will pay the higher "OR" pricing of 128 million revenue requirements to be paid back to the Transmission Owners and the remaining revenue requirements of (140128) or 12 million will be paid by base plan funding.

## Example C:

E \& C allocated for upgrades is 25 million with revenue requirements of 50 million and PTP base rate of 101 million. Potential base plan funding is 10 million. Base plan funding is not applicable as the higher "OR" pricing of PTP base rate of 101 million must be paid and the 50 million revenue requirements will be paid from this.

The $125 \%$ resource to load determination is performed on a per request basis and is not based on a total of designated resource requests per Customer. A footnote will provide the maximum resource designation allowable for base plan funding consideration per Customer basis per year.

Base plan funding verification requires that each Transmission Customer with potential for base plan funding provide SPP power supply contracts or agreements verifying that the firm capacity of the requested designated resource is committed for a minimum five year duration.

## B. Study Definitions

The Commercial Operation Date (COD) is the earliest date the upgrade is required to alleviate a constraint considering all requests. End of Construction (EOC) is the estimated date the upgrade will be completed and in service. The Total Engineering and Construction Cost (E \& C) is the upgrade solution cost as determined by the transmission owner. The Transmission Customer Allocation Cost is the estimated engineering and construction cost based upon the allocation of costs to all Transmission Customers in the AFS who positively impact facilities by at least 3\% subsequently overloaded by the AFS. Minimum ATC is the portion of the requested capacity that can be accommodated with out upgrading facilities. Annual ATC allocated to the Transmission Customer is determined by the least amount of allocated seasonal ATC within each year of a reservation period.

## 5. Conclusion

The results of the AFS show that limiting constraints exist in many areas of the regional transmission system. Due to these constraints, transmission service cannot be granted unless noted in Table 3.

The posting of this study will open a 15-day window for Customer response. To remain in this Aggregate Transmission Service Study (ATSS), the Customer should select Option \#1 on the Letter of Intent sent concurrently with the posting of this Facility Study. Otherwise, if the customer chooses to withdraw from this ATSS, Customer should select Option \#2 on the Letter of Intent. This will result in SPP ANNULING the OASIS request and no further study of this request will occur.

The Customer's course of action as indicated by the Letter of Intent must be received by the Transmission Provider by August $5^{\text {th }}, 2006$, otherwise the request will be determined as withdrawn and no further study of the request will occur.

At the conclusion of this ATSS, Service Agreements for each request for service will be tendered to the Customer. For requests requiring Network Upgrades, the full allocation of revenue requirements for facility upgrades will be assigned to the Customer contingent upon verification of designated resources meeting Attachment J, Section III B criteria for base plan funding.

The Transmission Provider must receive an unconditional and irrevocable letter of credit in the amount of the total allocated Engineering and Construction costs assigned to the Customer concurrent with the execution of the Service Agreement. This letter of credit is required regardless of base plan funding consideration. This amount is for all assignable Network Upgrades less any assigned facilities owned by the Network Customer's Transmission Operating Company. The amount of the letter of credit will be adjusted down on an annual basis to reflect amortization of these costs. The Transmission Provider will issue letters of authorization to construct facility upgrades to the constructing Transmission Owner. This date is determined by the engineering and construction lead time provided for each facility upgrade.

## Appendix A

PSS/E CHOICES IN RUNNING LOAD FLOW PROGRAM AND ACCC

BASE CASES:
Solutions - Fixed slope decoupled Newton-Raphson solution (FDNS)

1. Tap adjustment - Stepping
2. Area interchange control - Tie lines and loads
3. Var limits - Apply immediately
4. Solution options - $\underline{X}$ Phase shift adjustment
_ Flat start
_ Lock DC taps
_ Lock switched shunts

## ACCC CASES:

Solutions - AC contingency checking (ACCC)

1. MW mismatch tolerance -0.5
2. Contingency case rating - Rate B
3. Percent of rating -100
4. Output code - Summary
5. Min flow change in overload report - 3mw
6. Excld cases w/ no overloads form report - YES
7. Exclude interfaces from report - NO
8. Perform voltage limit check - YES
9. Elements in available capacity table -60000
10. Cutoff threshold for available capacity table - 99999.0
11. Min. contng. case Vltg chng for report -0.02
12. Sorted output - None

Newton Solution:

1. Tap adjustment - Stepping
2. Area interchange control - Tie lines and loads
3. Var limits - Apply automatically
4. Solution options - $\underline{X}$ Phase shift adjustment
_ Flat start
_ Lock DC taps
_ Lock switched shunts

Table 1 - Long-Term Transmission Service Requests Included in Aggregate Facility Study

| Customer | Study Number | Reservation | POR | POD | Requested Amount | Requested Start Date | Requested Stop Date | Deferred <br> Start Date | Deferred Stop Date | Mimimum Allocated ATC (MW) within reservation period | Season of Minimum Allocated ATC within reservation period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AEPM | AG1-2006-006D | 1019914 | CSWS | CSWS | 168 | 7/1/2008 | 7/1/2013 |  |  | 0 | 08SP |
| AEPM | AG1-2006-007D | 1023236 | WFEC | CSWS | 80 | 1/1/2007 | 1/1/2027 | 12/1/2007 | 12/1/2027 | 0 | 06WP |
| EDE | AG1-2006-027 | 1032183 | EES | EDE | 50 | 6/1/2010 | 6/1/2040 |  |  | 0 | 11SP |
| GSEC | AG1-2006-094 | 1034404 | SECI | SPS | 400 | 2/1/2011 | 2/1/2041 |  |  | 0 | 11SP |
| GSEC | AG1-2006-095 | 1034476 | CSWS | CSWS | 10 | 8/1/2006 | 8/1/2036 | 4/1/2011 | 4/1/2041 | 0 | 06SP |
| GSEC | AG1-2006-096 | 1034489 | CSWS | CSWS | 10 | 8/1/2006 | 8/1/2036 | 4/1/2011 | 4/1/2041 | 0 | 06SP |
| INDP | AG1-2006-051 | 1033791 | KCPL | INDN | 50 | 6/1/2010 | 6/1/2040 |  |  | 0 | 11SP |
| KCPS | AG1-2006-009 | 979750 | KCPL | KCPL | 168 | 6/1/2009 | 6/1/2029 |  |  | 0 | 11SP |
| KCPS | AG1-2006-070 | 1034307 | KCPL | EES | 103 | 6/1/2006 | 6/1/2007 |  |  | 0 | 06SP |
| KMEA | AG1-2006-068 | 1034247 | GRDA | WR | 1 | 5/1/2010 | 5/1/2026 |  |  | 0 | 11SP |
| KPP | AG1-2006-042 | 1032991 | WPEK | WPEK | 80 | 6/1/2006 | 6/1/2016 |  |  | 0 | 08SP |
| OGE | AG1-2006-040 | 1032973 | OKGE | OKGE | 120 | 9/1/2006 | 9/1/2031 | 12/1/2007 | 12/1/2032 | 0 | 06WP |
| OMPA | AG1-2006-010 | 977481 | GRDA | OKGE | 25 | 5/1/2007 | 5/1/2040 |  |  | 0 | 07FA |
| WRGS | AG1-2006-029D | 1031553 | KCPL | AECI | 15 | 6/1/2006 | 6/1/2007 |  |  | 0 | 06SH |
| WRGS | AG1-2006-037D | 1032955 | AECI | KCPL | 15 | 6/1/2006 | 6/1/2007 |  |  | 0 | 06SP |

[^0]Table 2 - Total Revenue Requirements Associated with Long-Term Transmission Service Requests

| Customer | Study Number | Reservation | Engineering and Construction Cost of Upgrades Allocated to Customer for Revenue Requirements |  | ${ }^{6}$ Letter of Credit Amount Required |  | Potential Base Plan Engineering and Construction Funding Allowable |  | 'Total Revenue Requirements for Assigned Upgrades over term of reservation WITHOUT potential base plan funding allocation in consideration of redispatch if applicable |  | Total Revenue Requirements for Assigned Upgrades over term of reservation WITH potential base plan funding allocation in consideration of redispatch if applicable |  | Point-to-Point Base Rate over reservation period |  | ${ }^{2}$ Total Cost of Reservation Assignable to Customer contingent upon base plan funding |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AEPM | AG1-2006-006D | 1019914 | \$ | 8,924,342 | \$ | 8,234,429 | \$ | 8,924,342 | \$ | 16,370,374 | \$ |  | \$ |  | Schedule 9 charges |
| AEPM | AG1-2006-007D | 1023236 | \$ | 4,153,473 | \$ | 3,843,386 | \$ | 1,440,000 | \$ | 11,724,067 | \$ | 7,659,358 | \$ |  | \$ 7,659,358 |
| EDE | AG1-2006-027 | 1032183 | \$ |  |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | Schedule 9 charges |
| GSEC | AG1-2006-094 | 1034404 | \$ | 165,571,674 | \$ | 165,571,674 | \$ | 72,000,000 | \$ | 709,264,735 | \$ | 400,836,006 | \$ |  | \$ 400,836,006 |
| GSEC | AG1-2006-095 | 1034476 | \$ | 25,454,338 | \$ | 25,550,375 | \$ |  | \$ | 155,642,468 | \$ | 155,642,468 | \$ |  | 155,642,468 |
| GSEC | AG1-2006-096 | 1034489 | \$ | 25,454,338 | \$ | 25,550,375 | \$ |  | \$ | 155,642,468 | \$ | 155,642,468 | \$ |  | \$ 155,642,468 |
| INDP | AG1-2006-051 | 1033791 | \$ | 1,476,836 | \$ | 1,476,836 | \$ |  | \$ | 6,132,418 | \$ | 6,132,418 | \$ | 15,840,000 | \$ 15,840,000 |
| KCPS | AG1-2006-009 | 979750 | \$ | 6,023,164 | \$ | 5,323,164 | \$ | 6,023,164 | \$ | 17,490,605 | \$ |  | \$ |  | Schedule 9 charges |
| KCPS | AG1-2006-070 | 1034307 | \$ | 1,415,972 | \$ | 1,415,972 | \$ |  | \$ | 1,559,070 | \$ | 1,559,070 | \$ | 1,050,600 | 1,559,070 |
| KMEA | AG1-2006-068 | 1034247 | \$ | 35,512 | \$ | 35,512 | \$ | 35,512 | \$ | 98,061 | \$ |  | \$ | 249,600 | \$ 249,600 |
| KPP | AG1-2006-042 | 1032991 | \$ | 2,168,000 | \$ | 2,368,000 | \$ | 2,168,000 | \$ | 4,925,460 | \$ |  | \$ |  | Schedule 9 charges |
| OGE | AG1-2006-040 | 1032973 | \$ | 4,313,226 | \$ | 2,983,239 | \$ | 1,440,000 | \$ | 15,862,241 | \$ | 10,566,523 | \$ |  | \$ 10,566,523 |
| OMPA | AG1-2006-010 | 977481 | \$ | 768,951 | \$ | 768,951 | \$ | 768,951 | \$ | 2,590,314 | \$ |  | \$ |  | Schedule 9 charges |
| WRGS | AG1-2006-029D | 1031553 | \$ |  |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 153,000 | 153,000 |
| WRGS | AG1-2006-037D | 1032955 | \$ | 189,528 | \$ | 189,528 | \$ |  | \$ | 208,682 | \$ | 208,682 | \$ | 158,400 | \$ 208,682 |
|  |  |  | \$ | 245,949,354 |  |  | \$ | 92,799,969 | s | 1,097,510,963 | s | 738,246,994 |  |  |  |

Note 1. 400MW potential base plan funding for year 2011 for GSEC


 agreements meeting Attachment $J$, Section II B criteria. Not applicable if PTP base rate exceeds revenue requirements.

Note 5: 92MW potential base plan funding for year 2008 for KPP WPEK requests.
 Note 6. Leter of Creatr required for inancial security for transmission owner for

Note 7: Revenue Requirements are based upon customer's prior selection of intention to pursue redispatch if applicable

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Customer } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Study Number } \\ \text { AEPM }\end{array} \\ \text { AE006-007D }\end{array}$

| Cust | Reservation | POR | POD | Requested Amount | $\begin{gathered} \text { Requested start } \\ \text { Date } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Requested Stop } \\ & \text { Date } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deferred Start } \\ & \text { Date } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Deferred Stop } \\ \text { Date } \end{gathered}$ | Potential Base Plan Funding Allowable | Point-to-Point | $\begin{gathered} \text { Allocate E\& C C } \\ \text { Cost } \end{gathered}$ | Total Revenue Requirements |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AEPM | 1023236 | WFEC | csws | 80 | 1/1/2007 |  | 121/12007 | 1211/20 | 1,440,000 |  | 4,156,399 |  |


| Reservation | Upgrade Name | COD | EOC | Earliest Service Start Date | $\begin{gathered} \text { Redispatch } \\ \text { Available } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | ocated E \& C Cost | Total E \& C cost |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Total Revenue } \\ \text { Requirements } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1023236 | 36 TH \& LEWIS - 52ND \& DELAWARE TAP 138KV CKT 1 | 6112016 | $61 / 12016$ |  | N/A | \$ | 2,926 | \$ | 15,000 |  |  |
|  | ALUMAX TAP - BANN 138 KV CKT 1 | 611/2008 | 611/2008 |  | N/A | \$ | 310,087 | \$ | 1,000,000 |  | 981,106 |
|  | FPL SWITCH-MOORELAND 138KV CKT 1 OKGE | 6112006 | 2/1/2008 |  | Yes | \$ | 2,887 | \$ | 120,000 | \$ | 13,371 |
|  | FPL SWITCH - MOORELAND 138KV CKT 1 WFEC | 611/2006 | 2112008 |  | Yes | \$ | 18,041 | \$ | 750,000 | \$ | 45,005 |
|  | FT SUPPLY 138/69KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1 | 12112006 | 61/2008 |  | Yes | \$ | 2,000,000 | \$ | 2,000,000 | \$ | 4,719,048 |
|  | HAMON BUTLER - MOREWOOD 69\%V CKT 1 | ${ }^{611 / 2006}$ | 2112008 |  | Yes | \$ | 1,158,022 | \$ | 3,400,000 | \$ | 3,035,013 |
|  | KNOBHILL (KNOBHIL4) 138/69/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1 | 6112006 | 6112008 | 101/12007 | N/A | S | 537,126 | \$ | 1,750,000 | \$ | 2,487,632 |
|  | MIDWAY 69 KV STATCOM | 611/2006 | 1011/2007 |  | No | \$ | 127,308 | \$ | 3,000,000 | \$ | 442,891 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | \$ | 4,156,399 | \$ | 12,035,000 |  | 11,724,067 |


| ervation | Upgrade Name | COD | EOC | Earliest Service Start Date | Redispatch Available |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1023236 | ALUMAX TAP - NORTHWEST TEXARKANA 138KV CKT 1 | 6/1/2007 | 6112008 | 101/12007 | N/A |
|  | BANN - NW TEXARKANA-BANN T 138KV CKT 1 | ${ }^{611 / 2013}$ | ${ }^{61122013}$ |  | N/A |
|  | CASHION CAP BANK | - ${ }^{121112006}$ | 12112007 |  | No |



| $\substack{\text { Customer } \\ \text { GSEC }}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Study Number } \\ \text { AG1-2006-094 }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- |





| Reservation | Upgrade Name | COD | EOC | Earliest Service Start Date | Redispatch Available |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1034476 | Bowers Project | $611 / 2010$ | $611 / 2010$ |  | N/A |
|  | Carter JCT Capcitor | $611 / 2011$ | 6/1/2011 |  | N/A |
|  | CLINTON CITY - THOMAS TAP 69KV CKT 1 | 6/1/2013 | 61/2012 |  | N/A |
|  | ELK CITY- ELL CIITY 69\%V CKT 1 | ${ }^{6 / 1 / 21211}$ | 6/1/2011 |  | N/A |
|  | NICHOLS STATION $230 / 115 \mathrm{KV}$ TRANSFORMER CKT 1 | 121/2011 | 12/12011 |  | N/A |
|  | NICHOLS STATION $230 / 115 \mathrm{KV}$ TRANSFORMER CKT 2 | $611 / 2015$ | $6 / 1 / 2015$ |  | N/A |
|  | SNYYER AEPW- SNYDER WFECC INTERCONNECTION | $611 / 2015$ | 61/12015 |  | N/A |
|  |  | $\frac{611 / 2011}{6 / 12010}$ | 6/1/2011 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{N / A}{N} \\ & N / A \end{aligned}$ |


| servation | Upgrade Name | COD | EOC | Earliest Service Start Date | Redispatch Available |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1034476 | ARCADIA - REDBUD 345 KV CKT 1 | $611 / 2006$ | $611 / 2006$ |  | N/A |
|  | ARCADIA - REDBUD 345 KV CKT 2 | 6/1/2006 | 6/1/2006 |  | N/A |
|  | BEELINE-EXPLORER GLENPOOL 138 KV CKT 1 | 611/2009 | 61112009 |  | N/A |
|  | CACHE-SNYDER 138KV CKT 1 | 611/2008 | 6112008 |  | N/A |
|  | EAST CENTRAL HENRYETTA - OKMULGEE 138kV CKT 1 | 12/112006 | 12112006 |  | N/A |
|  | EAST CENTRAL HENRYETTA - WELEETKA 138KV CKT 1 | 6/1/2007 | 61112007 |  | N/A |
|  | EXPLORER GLENPOOL - RIVERSIDE STATION 138KV CKT 1 AEPW | $6 / 1 / 2009$ | $611 / 2009$ |  | N/A |



| Reservation | Upgrade Name | COD | EOC | Eariest Service Start Date | Redispatch Available |  | Allocated E \& C C Cost | Total E \& C Cost | Total Revenue Requirements |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1034489 | 36TH \& LEWIS - 22 ND \& DELAWARE TAP 138 KV CKT 1 | 6/112016 | 611/2016 |  |  | \$ |  | 15,000 |  |
|  | ALTUS JCT TAP - RUSSELL 138 KV CKT 1 | 6/112011 | 61/2011 |  | N/A | \$ | 918,347 | 3,125,000 | 2,931,324 |
|  | ANADARKO 138/69KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1 | 6/11/2011 | 61/12011 |  | N/A | \$ | 108,215 | \$ 2,000,000 | 345,418 |
|  | CURRY COUNTY INTERCHANGE - ROOSEVELT COUNTY INTERCHANGE 115KV CKT 2 | 21112011 | 2/1/2011 |  | N/A | \$ | 10,227 | \$ 1,515,113 | 46,467 |
|  | ELDORADO - LAKE PAULINE 69KV CKT 1 | 6/112016 | $611 / 2016$ |  | N/A | \$ |  | 10,000 | \$ |
|  | GSEC Midway Interconnection | 6/112006 | 61/12006 |  | N/A | \$ |  | 70,000 | \$ |
|  | GYPSUM - RUSSELL 69KV CKT 1 | 6/112014 | 61/2014 |  | N/A | \$ | 350,000 | 700,000 | 1,033,322 |
|  | HAMON BUTLER - MOREWOOD 69KV CKT 1 | 61112006 | 21112008 |  | No | \$ | 85,580 | 3,400,000 | 309,281 |
|  | HOBART JUNCTION - TAMARAC TAP 138 KV CKT 1 | 6/112013 | 61/2013 |  | N/A | \$ |  | 100,000 | \$ |
|  | MIDWAY 69 KV STATCOM | 61112006 | 1011/2007 |  | No | \$ | 1,346,114 | 3,000,000 | 8,065,783 |
|  | Mooreland - Potter 345 KV SPS | 2112011 | 2/1/2011 |  | N/A | \$ | 1,057,347 | 61,850,000 | 4,804,154 |
|  | Mooreland - Potter 345 kV WFEC | $211 / 2011$ | 211/2011 |  | N/A | \$ | 42,738 | 2,500,000 |  |
|  | Mooreland $345 / 138 \mathrm{kV}$ Transtormer | 21112011 | 2112011 |  | N/A | \$ | 134,565 | 5,000,000 | 433,136 <br> 577 |
|  | POTTER COUNTY INTERCHANGE (POTTR CO) 345/230/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1 | 211/2011 | 211/2011 |  | N/A | \$ | 122,591 | 8,727,217 | 557,004 |
|  | ROOSEVELT COUNTY INTERCHANGE 230/115KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1 | 21112011 | 211/2011 |  | N/A | \$ | 19,137 | \$ 3,200,000 | 86,951 |
|  | Spearville - Mooreland 345 kV SUNC | 21112011 | 2/1/2011 |  | N/A | \$ | 154,688 | \$ 31,000,000 | 707,921 |
|  | Spearville - Mooreland 345 kV WFEC | 21112011 | 211/2011 |  | N/A | \$ | 104,789 | \$ 21,000,000 | 337,293 |
|  | Wichita - Reno Co 345 KV | 6/112006 | 411/2011 |  | No | \$ | 21,000,000 | \$ 42,000,000 | \$ 135,846,849 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total |  | 25,454,338 | \$ 189,212,330 | \$ 155,642,468 |


| Reservation | Upgrade Name | COD | EOC | Eariest Service Start Date | Redispatch Available |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1034889 | Bowers Project | $611 / 2010$ | $6 / 1 / 2010$ |  | N/A |
|  | Carter JCT Capcitor | 611/2011 | 6/11/2011 |  | N/A |
|  | CLINTON CITY - THOMAS TAP 69KV CKT 1 | 611/2013 | 6/1/2012 |  | N/A |
|  | ELK CITY- ELL CIITY 69\%V CKT 1 | 61/12011 | ${ }^{6 / 1 / 2211}$ |  | N/A |
|  | NICHOLS STATION 230/115KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1 | 12112011 | 12112011 |  | N/A |
|  | NICHOLS STATION $230 / 115 \mathrm{KV}$ TRANSFORMER CKT 2 | 6/112015 | $61 / 12015$ |  | N/A |
|  | SNYDER AEPW- SNYDER WFEC INTERCONNECTION | 6/122015 | $61 / 12015$ |  | N/A |
|  | THOMAS TAP- WEATHERFORD 69 KV CKT 1 | 61/12011 | 6/1/12011 |  | N/A |
|  | WEATHERFORD SOUTHEAST (WTH_SE) 138/69/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1 | 6/1/2010 | 6112010 |  | N/A |


| Reservation | Upgrade Name | COD | EOC | Earliest Senvice Start Date | Redispatch Available |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1034489 | ARCADIA - REDBUD 345 KV CKT 1 | $611 / 2006$ | $61 / 12006$ |  | N/A |
|  | ARCADIA - REDBUD 345 KV CKT 2 | 6/1/2006 | 61/12006 |  | N/A |
|  | BEELINE - EXPLORER GLENPOOL 138 KV CKT 1 | 611/2009 | 61/12009 |  | N/A |
|  | CACHE-SNYDER 138KV CKT 1 | 611/2008 | 6112008 |  | N/A |
|  | EAST CENTRAL HENRYETTA - OKMULGEE 138KV CKT 1 | 121112006 | 12112006 |  | N/A |
|  | EAST CENTRAL HENRYETTA - WELEETKA 138KV CKT 1 | $6 / 1 / 2007$ | 61112007 |  | N/A |
|  | EXPLORER GLENPOOL-RIVERSIDE STATION 138 CVV CKT 1 AEPW | 6/1/2009 | 61/12009 |  | N/A |
|  | EXPLORER GLENPOOL-RIVERSIDE STATION 138KV CKT 1 OKGE | 6/1/2009 | 6112009 |  | N/A |

$\begin{array}{ll} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Customer } \\ \text { INDP }\end{array}\end{array} \begin{gathered}\text { Study Number } \\ \text { AG1-2006-051 }\end{gathered}$

| Customer | Reservation | POR | POD | Requested Amount | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Requested Start } \\ \text { Date } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { Requested Stop } \\ \text { Date } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Deferred Start } \\ \text { Date } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Deferred Stop } \\ \text { Date } \end{gathered}$ | Potential Base Plan Funding Allowable | Point-to-Point Base Rate |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Allocate E\&C } \begin{array}{c} \text { Alost } \\ \text { Cost } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Total Revenue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INDP | 1033791 | KCPL | INDN | 50 | $611 / 2010$ | $611 / 2040$ |  |  | \$ | \$ 15,840,000 |  | 1,476,836 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | \$ 15,840,000 | \$ | 1,476,836 | 6,132,418 |


| $\frac{\text { Reservation }}{1033791}$ | Upgrade Name | COD | EOC | Earliest Service | Redispatch Available | Allocated E \& C <br> Cost |  | Total E \& C Cost |  | Total Revenue Requirements |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $166 T H$ STREET - JARBALO JUNCTION SWITCHING STATION 115KV CKT 1 | 6/1/2009 | 61/12009 |  | N/A | \$ | 404,973 | \$ | 1,900,000 | \$ | 577,160 |
|  | JAGGARD JUNCTION - PENTAGON 115 KV CKT 1 | 611/2009 | 61/12009 |  | N/A | \$ | 319,716 | \$ | 1,500,000 | \$ | 1,657,026 |
|  | STRANGER CREEK - NW LEAVENWORTH 115KV | 611/2009 | 61/12009 |  | N/A | \$ | 539,003 | \$ | 2,400,000 | \$ | 2,793,548 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total |  | 1,476,836 | \$ | 6,800,000 |  | 6,132,418 |


| Reservation | Upgrade Name | COD | EOC | Earliest Service Start Date | Redispatch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1033791 | IATAN - ST JoE 345 KV CKT 1 | 12/1/2011 | 4/1/2007 |  | N/A |
|  | IATAN5 161-PLATTE CITY 161KV CKT 1 | 6/12011 | 6/112010 |  | N/A |



| Reservation | Upgrade Name | COD | EOC | Eariest Service Start Date | Redispatch Available |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1034247 | CIRCLEVILLE - HOYT HTI SWITCHING JUNCTION 115KV CKT 1 | 5/112010 | 511/2010 |  | N/A |
|  | CIRCLEVILLE - KING HILL N.M. COOP 115KV CKT 1 | 5/112010 | 5/112010 |  | N/A |
|  | GRAY TAP - PENSACOLA 69KV CKT 1 | 6/112006 | 12112008 | 10112008 | N/A |
|  | KELLY - KING HILL N.M. COOP 115KV CKT 1 | 5/112010 | 5/122010 |  | N/A |



Customer
KPP $\begin{aligned} & \text { Study Number } \\ & \text { AG1-2006-042 }\end{aligned}$

| Customer | Reservation | POR | POD | Requested Amount | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Requested Start } \\ \text { Date } \end{array}$ | Requested Stop Date | Deferred Start Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deferred Stop } \\ & \text { Date } \end{aligned}$ |  | Potential Base Plan Funding Allowable | Point-to-Point Base Rate |  | ocate E \& C <br> Cost | Total Revenue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KPP | 1032991 | WPEK | WPEK | 80 | 611/2006 | 6/1/2016 |  |  | \$ | 2,168,000 | \$ | \$ | 2,168,000 |  |


| Reservation | Upgrade Name | COD | EOC | Eariest Senvice Start Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Redispatch } \\ & \text { Available } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Allocated E E C C } \\ & \text { Cost } \end{aligned}$ | Total E \& C Cost | Total Revenue Requirements |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1032991 | 1 Ellsworth 34.5 kV System Improvements | $611 / 2008$ | 611/2008 |  | N/A | \$ | 100,000 |  |
|  | Greenleat 34.5 kV System Improvements | $611 / 2008$ | 6/1/2008 |  | N/A | 797,000 | 797,000 | 1,403,696 |
|  | Greensburg 34.5 kV System Improvements | $611 / 2008$ | 611/2008 |  | N/A | 721,000 | 721,000 | 1,852,073 |
|  | North West Great Bend 34.5 kV System Improvements | $611 / 2008$ | 611/2008 |  | N/A | 150,000 | 150,000 | 385,313 |
|  | Plainville 34.5 kV System Improvements | 61112008 | 611/2008 |  | N/A | 200,000 | 200,000 | 513,751 |
|  | Smith Center 34.5 kV System Improvements | $6 / 1 / 2008$ | $611 / 2008$ |  | N/A | \$ | \$ 100,000 | \$ |
|  | South Dodge 34.5 KV System Improvements | 611/2008 | 611/2008 |  | N/A | 300,000 | 300,000 | \$ 770,627 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




| Reservation | Upgrade Name | COD | EOC | Earliest Service Start Date | Redispatch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1032973 | IIODINE -WOODWARD 138kV CKT 1 | 611200 | 1211200 |  | N/A |

Customer
OMPA $\quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Study Number } \\ & \text { AG1-2006-010 }\end{aligned}$


| Reservation ${ }^{\text {g }} 77481$ | Upgrade Name | COD | EOC | Earliest Service | Redispatch Available | $\begin{array}{l\|} \hline \text { Allocated } \mathrm{E} \& \mathrm{C} \\ \text { Cost } \end{array}$ |  | Total E \& C Cost |  | Total Revenue Requirements |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mooreland - Potter 345 kV SPS | 2112011 | 2112011 |  |  | \$ | 127,697 | \$ |  | \$ |  |
|  | Mooreland - Potter 345 kV WFEC | 2112011 | 2112011 |  | N/A | \$ | 5,162 | \$ | 2,500,000 | ¢ | 14,759 |
|  | Mooreland $345 / 138 \mathrm{kV}$ Transformer | 2112011 | 2112011 |  | N/A | \$ | 152,988 | \$ | 5,000,000 | \$ | 437,430 |
|  | POTTER COUNTY INTERCHANGE (POTTR CO) 345/230/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1 | 2112011 | 2112011 |  | N/A | \$ | 68,337 | \$ | 8,727,217 | \$ | 244,125 |
|  | Spearville - Mooreland 345 kV SUNC | ${ }^{211 / 21211}$ | 21112011 |  | N/A | \$ | 247,265 | \$ | 31,000,000 | S |  |
|  | Spearville - Mooreland 345 kV WFEC | 2112011 | 2112011 |  | N/A | \$ | 167,502 | - | 21,000,000 | \$ | 478,929 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | \$ | 768,951 |  | 130,077,217 |  | 2,590,314 |


| Reservation | Upgrade Name | COD | EOC | Eariiest Service Start Date | Redispatch Avaiable |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 97781 | GRAY TAP - PENSACOLA 69KV CKT 1 | 6/11/2006 | 12112008 | 101/12008 | Yes |
|  | PENNSYLVANIA - WESTMOORE 138KV CKT 1 | 101112007 | 61/2008 | 121122007 | Yes |
|  | ROSE HILL (ROSEHL1X) 345/138/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 3 | 6/1/2013 | 61/2013 |  | N/A |


| ervation | Upgrade Name | COD | EOC | Earliest Service Start Date | Redispatch Available |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 97781 | 412SUB-KANSAS TAP 161KV CKT 1 | $61 / 12015$ | 61112015 |  | N/A |
|  | 412SUB - KERR 161KV CKT 1 | $61 / 2015$ | 6112015 |  | N/A |
|  | ARCADIA - REDBUD 345 KV CKT 1 | 6/1/2006 | 6/112006 |  | N/A |
|  | ARCADIA-REDBUD 345 KV CKT 2 | 61112006 | 61/12006 |  | N/A |
|  | SUB 110 - ORONOGO JCT. - SUB 167 - RIVERTON 161 KV CKT 1 | 61/12011 | 6/112011 |  | N/A |

Table 3 - Additional Details for Each Request Including All Facility Upgrades Required and Allocated costs for Each Upgrade



| Transmission Owner | Upgrade | Solution | Minimum ATC per Upgrade (MW) | Season of Minimum Allocated ATC | Earliest Data <br> Upgrade Required (COD) | Estimated Date of Upgrade Completion (EOC) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AEPW | ALUMAX TAP - NORTHWEST TEXARKANA 138KV CKT 1 | Rebuild 1.68 miles of 1024 ACAR with 2156 ACSR, Replace wavetrap \& jumpers with 2156 ACSR. Replace Switch 2285 @ Alumax Tap. |  | 11SP | 61/12007 | 6/1/2008 |
| AEPW | BANN - NW TEXARKANA-BANN T 138KV CKT 1 | Reset Relays |  | 16SP | 6/1/2013 | $6 / 1 / 2013$ |
| AEPW | CLINTON CITY - THOMAS TAP 69 KV CKT 1 | Rebuild 13.68 miles of 4/0 ACSR with 795 ACSF |  | 16 SP | 6/1/2013 | 6/1/2012 |
| AEPW | ELK CITY - ELK CITY 69KV CKT 1 | Replace CTS \& jumpers |  | 115P | 6/1/2011 | 6/1/2011 |
| AEPW | LINWOOD - MCWILLIE STREET 138KV CKT 1 | Rebuild 2.09 miles of 666 ACSR with 1272 ACSF |  | 0707S | 6/1/2007 | $6 / 1 / 2008$ |
| AEPW | WEATHERFORD SOUTHEAST (WTH_SE) 138/69/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1 | Install new 90 MVA Autc |  | 115P | 6/1/2010 | $6 / 1 / 2010$ |
| AEPW | SNYDER AEPW- SNYDER WFEC INTERCONNECTION | New Tie line between AEPW's Snyder and WFEC's Snyde |  | 16SP | $6 / 1 / 2015$ | $6 / 1 / 2015$ |
| EMDE | JAMESVILLE - SUB 415 - BLACKHAWK JCT. 69KV CKT 1 | Replace Jumpers to breaker \#6950 at Blackhawk Jct |  | 16SP | 6/1/2013 | $6 / 1 / 2013$ |
| EmDE | SUB 110 - ORONOGO JCT. (ORONOGO) 161/69/12.5KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1 | Replace 75 MVA Auto-xfmr at Oronogo Jct with 150 MVA Auto-xfmr anc install 69 kV bank breaker. Auto-xfmr will have an impedance similar to Aurora 59468, 59537, 59704 |  | 16SP |  |  |
| EmDE | SUB 124 - AURORA H.T. - SUB 152 - MONETT H.T. 69 KV CKT 1 | Change CT Ratio on breaker \#6936 at Aurora \#12t |  | 111SP | 6/1/2010 | $611 / 2010$ |
| EMDE | SUB 145 - JOPLIN WEST 7TH - SUB 64 - JOPLIN 10TH ST. 69 KV CKT 1 | Replace 600 amp disconnects and leads to breaker \#6965 at Joplin \#6 |  | 16SP | 6/1/2014 | $6 / 1 / 2014$ |
| GRDA | GRAY TAP - PENSACOLA 69KV CKT 1 | Rebuild of Pensacola - Jayline (not owned by GRDA - have tried to convince owner) |  | 06 SP | 6/1/2006 | 121/12008 |
| KACP | AVONDALE - GLADSTONE 161 KV CKT 1 | Replace 800 amp wavetrap at Gladstone with 1200 amp wavetra; |  | 16SP | 6/1/2014 | 6/1/2014 |
| KACP | SOUTH WAVERLY 161/69KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1 Redispatch | Redispatch for the 06 Summer Shouldel |  | 06SH | 6/1/2006 | 101/2006 |
| OKGE | COLONY - FT SMITH 161KV CKT 1 | Reconductor 2.2 miles to Drake ACCC/TW and change terminal equipment at Ft. Smitt $\&$ Colony to 2000A. |  | 11SP | 61/2011 | 6/1/2011 |
| OKGE | PENNSYLVANIA - WESTMOORE 138KV CKT 1 | Replace the disconnect switches for breaker 108 at Pennsylvania Substation. Replac the 1200 A trap. Increase CTR. Relay replacement may be required. |  | 0 07FA | 101/2007 | 6/1/2008 |
|  |  | Tap Elk City - Grapevine. New line from Stateline Tap to Graves Co. New 115/69xfmr a |  |  |  |  |
| SPS | Bowers Project | Graves Co. |  | 07SP | 6/1/2010 | 6/1/2010 |
| SPS | COX INTERCHANGE - LH-COX 3115 KV CKT 1 | Rebuild Cox-LHCox 115 kV circuit w/397 ACSF |  |  | $611 / 2016$ | 6/1/2016 |
| SPS | HALE CO INTERCHANGE - LH-COX3 115KV CKT 1 | Rebuild Hale - LHCox 115 kV circuit w/397 ACSF |  | 16SP | 6/1/2016 | 61/2016 |
| SPS | MOORE COUNTY INTERCHANGE | Add 2nd 230 kV circuit and 2nd $230 / 115 \mathrm{kV}$ transformer at Moore. 230 kV constructior using 795 ACSR. |  | 11WP | 121/2011 |  |
| SPS | NICHOLS STATION $230 / 115 \mathrm{KV}$ TRANSFORMER CKT 1 | Upgrade 2301115 kV Transformer with 252 MV A |  | 115P | 121/12011 | 121/1/2011 |
| SPS | NICHOLS STATION 230/115KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2 | Upgrade 2301115 kV Transformer with 252 MV A |  | 16 SP | $6 / 1 / 2015$ | $6 / 1 / 2015$ |
| SPS | Seven Rivers to Pecos to Potash Junction 230kV | Seven Rivers to Pecos to Potash Junction 230k |  | 16SP | 211/2011 | 211/2011 |
| SPS | THOMAS TAP - WEATHERFORD 69KV CKT 1 | Rebuild 0.9 miles of $4 / 0$ ACSR with 795 ACSR . Replace Weatherford wavetrap |  | 115P | $611 / 2011$ | 6/1/2011 |
| SPS | YOAKUM COUNTY INTERCHANGE 230/115KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1 | Upgrade Transtormer $230 / 115 \mathrm{kV} 252 \mathrm{MVA}$ |  | 16 SP | $611 / 2012$ | 6/1/2012 |
| SWPA | BULL SHOALS - BULL SHOALS 161KV CKT 1 | Replace bus at Bull Shoals |  | 16 SP | 6/1/2010 | 611/2010 |
| SWPA | JONES - Jonesboro 161 KV CKT 1 | Change the ratio on the metering CTs to $1200 / 5$ and adjust the meter |  | 16SP | 6/1/2009 | 6/1/2009 |
| WERE | CIRCLEVILLE - HOYT HTI SWITCHING JUNCTION 115KV CKT 1 | Rebuild 16.66 mile C Circleville-Hoyt HTI Junction 115 kV lint |  | 10WP | 5/1/2010 | 5/1/2010 |
| WERE | CIRCLEVILLE - KING HILL N.M. COOP 115 KV CKT 1 | Rebuild 15.15 mile line with 1192.5 kcmil ACSR and replace CT |  | 10WP | 5/1/2010 | 5/1/2010 |
| WERE | KELLY - KING HILL N.M. COOP 115 KV CKT 1 | Reconductor 9.61 mile line with 1192.5 kcmil ACSR and replace CTs |  | 10WP | 5/1/2010 | $5 / 1 / 2010$ |
| WERE | ROSE HILL (ROSEHL1X) 345/138813.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 3 | Add third $345-138 \mathrm{kV}$ transformer at Rose Hil |  | 16SP | 6/1/2013 | 6/1/2013 |
| WFEC | Carter JCT Capcitor | Increase 6 to 24 MVAR at Carter JCT |  | 16 SP | 6/1/2011 | 611/2011 |
| WFEC | CASHION CAP BANK | Install 12MVAR Cap Bank at Cashior |  | 06 WP | 121/12006 | 12/1/2007 |
| WFEC | SNYDER AEPW- SNYDER WFEC INTERCONNECTION | New Tie line between AEPW's Snyder and WFEC's Snyde |  |  |  | 6/1/2015 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Transmission } \\ & \text { Owner } \end{aligned}$ | Upgrade | Solution | Earliest Data <br> Upgrade Required <br> (COD) | Estimated Date of <br> Upgrade Completion <br> (EOC) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AEPW | CACHE-SNYDER 138KV CKT 1 | Replace Snyder wavetrap | 6/1/2008 | 6/1/2008 |
| AEPW | EAST CENTRAL HENRYETTA - OKMULGEE 138KV CKT 1 | Replace Okmulgee Wavetrap | 121/12006 | 121/12006 |
| AEPW | EAST CENTRAL HENRYETTA - WELEETKA 138KV CKT 1 | Replace Weleetka Wavetrap | 6/1/2007 | $611 / 2007$ |
| AEPW | EXPLORER GLENPOOL - RIVERSIDE STATION 138 KV CKT 1 AEPW | Reconductor 1.9 miles with ACCC. Replace wave trap jumpers at Riversidk | $611 / 2009$ | $611 / 2009$ |
| EmDE | SUB 110 - ORONOGO JCT. - SUB 167 - RIVERTON 161 KV CKT 1 | Reconductor Oronogo 59467 to Riverton 59469 with Bundled 556 ACSI | 6/1/2011 | 6/1/2011 |
| EMDE | SUB 110 - ORONOGO JCT. (ORONOGO) 161/69/12.5KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1 | Install new $161 / 12 \mathrm{kV} 22.4$ transmer and take load off 69 kV systen | 6/1/2011 | 6/1/2011 |
| GRRD | 412SUB-KANSAS TAP 161 KV CKT 1 | Reconductor 9.7 miles with 1590 MCM ACSR | 6/1/2015 | 6/1/2015 |
| GRRD | 412SUB-KERR 161KV CKT 1 | Reconductor 12.5 miles with 1590 MCM ACSF | $6 / 1 / 2015$ | 6/1/2015 |
| OKGE | ARCADIA - REDBUD 345 KV CKT 1 | Sponsored Project to Uprate Terninal Equipmen | $6 / 1 / 2006$ | $6 / 1 / 2006$ |
| OKGE | ARCADIA - REDBUD 345 KV CKT 2 | Sponsored Project to Uprate Terninal Equipmen | 6/1/2006 | 6/1/2006 |
| OKGE | BEELINE - EXPLORER GLENPOOL 138 KV CKT 1 | Reconductor . 92 miles of line with Drake ACCC/TW | 6/1/2009 | 6/1/2009 |
| OKGE | EXPLORER GLENPOOL - RIVERSIDE STATION 138KV CKT 1 OKGE | Reconductor 1.82 miles line with Drake ACCC/TW | 6/1/2009 | 6/1/2009 |

Table 5 - Third Party Facility Constraints

| Transmission Owner | Upgrade | Solution | Minimum ATC per Upgrade (MW) | Season of Minimum Allocated ATC | Earliest Date Upgrade Required (COD) | Estimated Date of Upgrade Completion (EOC) | Estimated Engineering \& Construction Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | None |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6 - Potential Redispatch Relief Pairs to Prevent Deferral of Service

| Upgrade: | BLUE SPRINGS EAST - DUNCAN ROAD 161 | 61KV CKT 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Limiting Facility: | BLUE SPRINGS EAST - DUNCAN ROAD 161 | 61KV CKT 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direction: | To->From |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Line Outage: | ORRICK - SIBLEY 161KV CKT 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flowgate: | 59205592351592445920211106SP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date Redispatch Needed: | 6/1/06-10/1/06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Season Flowgate Identified: | 2006 Summer Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reservation | Relief Amount | Aggregate Relief Amount |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1032955 | 0.8 | 4.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1034307 | 4.1 | 4.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source Control Area | Source | Maximum Increment(MW) | GSF | Sink Control Area | Sink | Maximum Decrement(MW) | GSF | Factor | Redispatch Amount (MW) |
| MIPU | 'GREENWOOD 161KV' | 255.8 | -0.18518 | MIPU | 'SIBLEY 161KV' | 230.2233 | 0.19121 | -0.37639 | 13 |
| MIPU | 'ARIES 161KV' | 595 | -0.14198 | MIPU | 'SIBLEY 161KV' | 230.2233 | 0.19121 | -0.33319 | 14 |
| MIPU | 'GREENWOOD 161KV' | 255.8 | -0.18518 | MIPU | 'SIBLEY 69KV' | 45.99999 | 0.16359 | -0.34877 | 14 |
| MIPU | 'ARIES 161KV' | 595 | -0.14198 | MIPU | 'SIBLEY 69KV' | 45.99999 | 0.16359 | -0.30557 | 16 |
| MIPU | 'RALPH GREEN 69KV' | 73.7 | -0.11371 | MIPU | 'SIBLEY 161KV' | 230.2233 | 0.19121 | -0.30492 | 16 |
| MIPU | 'RALPH GREEN 69KV' | 73.7 | -0.11371 | MIPU | 'SIBLEY 69KV' | 45.99999 | 0.16359 | -0.2773 | 17 |
| MIPU | 'NEVADA 69KV' | 20.3 | -0.04556 | MIPU | 'SIBLEY 161KV' | 230.2233 | 0.19121 | -0.23677 | 20 |
| MIPU | 'GREENWOOD 161KV' | 255.8 | -0.18518 | MIPU | 'LAKE ROAD 161KV' | 35 | 0.03552 | -0.2207 | 22 |
| MIPU | 'GREENWOOD 161KV' | 255.8 | -0.18518 | MIPU | 'LAKE ROAD 34KV' | 92 | 0.03552 | -0.2207 | 22 |
| MIPU | 'NEVADA 69KV' | 20.3 | -0.04556 | MIPU | 'SIBLEY 69KV' | 45.99999 | 0.16359 | -0.20915 | 23 |
| MIPU | 'ARIES 161KV' | 595 | -0.14198 | MIPU | 'LAKE ROAD 161KV' | 35 | 0.03552 | -0.1775 | 27 |
| MIPU | 'ARIES 161KV' | 595 | -0.14198 | MIPU | 'LAKE ROAD 34KV' | 92 | 0.03552 | -0.1775 | 27 |
| MIPU | 'RALPH GREEN 69KV' | 73.7 | -0.11371 | MIPU | 'LAKE ROAD 161KV' | 35 | 0.03552 | -0.14923 | 32 |
| MIPU | 'RALPH GREEN 69KV' | 73.7 | -0.11371 | MIPU | 'LAKE ROAD 34KV' | 92 | 0.03552 | -0.14923 | 32 |
| MIPU | 'GREENWOOD 161KV' | 255.8 | -0.18518 | MIPU | 'SOUTH HARPER 161KV' | 232.4752 | -0.04893 | -0.13625 | 35 |
| MIPU | 'ARIES 161KV' | 595 | -0.14198 | MIPU | 'SOUTH HARPER 161KV' | 232.4752 | -0.04893 | -0.09305 | 52 |
| MIPU | 'NEVADA 69KV' | 20.3 | -0.04556 | MIPU | 'LAKE ROAD 161KV' | 35 | 0.03552 | -0.08108 | 60 |
| MIPU | 'NEVADA 69KV' | 20.3 | -0.04556 | MIPU | 'LAKE ROAD 34KV' | 92 | 0.03552 | -0.08108 | 60 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161 KV ' | 39.1 | -0.03666 | KACP | 'HAWTHORN 161KV' | 455 | 0.04087 | -0.07753 | 62 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161KV' | 39.1 | -0.03666 | KACP | 'HAWTHORN 161KV' | 314 | 0.04087 | -0.07753 | 62 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161KV' | 39.1 | -0.03666 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 13KV' | 36 | 0.03795 | -0.07461 | 65 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161KV' | 39.1 | -0.03666 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 13KV' | 36 | 0.03795 | -0.07461 | 65 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161 KV ' | 39.1 | -0.03666 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 13KV' | 38 | 0.03795 | -0.07461 | 65 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161KV' | 39.1 | -0.03666 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 161KV' | 35 | 0.03795 | -0.07461 | 65 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161KV' | 39.1 | -0.03666 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 161KV' | 38 | 0.03795 | -0.07461 | 65 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161KV' | 39.1 | -0.03666 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 161KV' | 27.89355 | 0.03795 | -0.07461 | 65 |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.81216 | -0.02891 | KACP | 'HAWTHORN 161KV' | 455 | 0.04087 | -0.06978 | 69 |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.81216 | -0.02891 | KACP | 'HAWTHORN 161KV' | 314 | 0.04087 | -0.06978 | 69 |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.81216 | -0.02891 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 13KV' | 36 | 0.03795 | -0.06686 | 72 |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.81216 | -0.02891 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 13KV' | 36 | 0.03795 | -0.06686 | 72 |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.81216 | -0.02891 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 13KV' | 38 | 0.03795 | -0.06686 | 72 |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.81216 | -0.02891 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 161KV' | 35 | 0.03795 | -0.06686 | 72 |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.81216 | -0.02891 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 161KV' | 38 | 0.03795 | -0.06686 | 72 |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.81216 | -0.02891 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 161KV' | 27.89355 | 0.03795 | -0.06686 | 72 |
| MIPU | 'RALPH GREEN 69KV' | 73.7 | -0.11371 | MIPU | 'SOUTH HARPER 161KV' | 232.4752 | -0.04893 | -0.06478 | 75 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161KV' | 39.1 | -0.03666 | KACP | 'IATAN 345KV' | 396 | 0.0154 | -0.05206 | 93 |

Maximum Decrement and Maximum Increment were determine from the Souce and Sink Operating Points in the study models where limiting facility was identified.
Factor = Source GSF - Sink GSF
Factor = Source GSF - Sink GSF
Redispatch Amount $=$ Relief Amount $/$ Factor

| Upgrade: | BLUE SPRINGS EAST - DUNCAN ROAD 1 | 61KV CKT 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Limiting Facility: | BLUE SPRINGS EAST - DUNCAN ROAD 1 | 61KV CKT 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direction: | To->From |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Line Outage: | ORRICK - SIBLEY 161KV CKT 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flowgate: | 59205592351592445920211107SP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date Redispatch Needed: | 6/1/07-10/1/07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Season Flowgate Identified: | 2007 Summer Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reservation | Relief Amount | Aggregate Relief Amount |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1032955 | 0.8 | 4.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1034307 | 4.0 | 4.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source Control Area | Source | Maximum Increment(MW) | GSF | Sink Control Area | Sink | Maximum Decrement(MW) | GSF | Factor | Redispatch Amount (MW) |
| MIPU | 'GREENWOOD 161KV' | 255.8 | -0.18515 | MIPU | 'SIBLEY 161KV' | 232.7727 | 0.19105 | -0.3762 | 13 |
| MIPU | 'ARIES 161KV' | 595 | -0.14194 | MIPU | 'SIBLEY 161KV' | 232.7727 | 0.19105 | -0.33299 | 14 |
| MIPU | GREENWOOD 161KV' | 255.8 | -0.18515 | MIPU | 'SIBLEY 69KV' | 45.99999 | 0.16339 | -0.34854 | 14 |
| MIPU | 'ARIES 161KV' | 595 | -0.14194 | MIPU | 'SIBLEY 69KV' | 45.99999 | 0.16339 | -0.30533 | 16 |
| MIPU | 'GREENWOOD 161KV' | 255.8 | -0.18515 | MIPU | 'LAKE ROAD 161KV' | 35 | 0.03534 | -0.22049 | 21 |
| MIPU | 'GREENWOOD 161KV' | 255.8 | -0.18515 | MIPU | 'LAKE ROAD 34KV' | 92 | 0.03534 | -0.22049 | 21 |
| MIPU | 'ARIES 161KV' | 595 | -0.14194 | MIPU | 'LAKE ROAD 161KV' | 35 | 0.03534 | -0.17728 | 27 |
| MIPU | 'ARIES 161KV' | 595 | -0.14194 | MIPU | 'LAKE ROAD 34KV' | 92 | 0.03534 | -0.17728 | 27 |
| MIPU | 'GREENWOOD 161KV' | 255.8 | -0.18515 | MIPU | 'SOUTH HARPER 161KV' | 274.6863 | -0.04884 | -0.13631 | 35 |
| MIPU | 'ARIES 161KV' | 595 | -0.14194 | MIPU | 'SOUTH HARPER 161KV' | 274.6863 | -0.04884 | -0.0931 | 51 |
| MIPU | 'NEVADA 69KV' | 20.3 | -0.04529 | MIPU | 'LAKE ROAD 161KV' | 35 | 0.03534 | -0.08063 | 59 |
| MIPU | 'NEVADA 69KV' | 20.3 | -0.04529 | MIPU | 'LAKE ROAD 34KV' | 92 | 0.03534 | -0.08063 | 59 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161KV' | 39.1 | -0.03669 | KACP | 'HAWTHORN 161KV' | 455 | 0.04042 | -0.07711 | 61 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161KV' | 39.1 | -0.03669 | KACP | 'HAWTHORN 161KV' | 314 | 0.04042 | -0.07711 | 61 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161KV' | 39.1 | -0.03669 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 13KV' | 36 | 0.03717 | -0.07386 | 64 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161 KV ' | 39.1 | -0.03669 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 13KV' | 36 | 0.03717 | -0.07386 | 64 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161KV' | 39.1 | -0.03669 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 13KV' | 38 | 0.03717 | -0.07386 | 64 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161 KV ' | 39.1 | -0.03669 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 161KV' | 35 | 0.03717 | -0.07386 | 64 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161 KV ' | 39.1 | -0.03669 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 161KV' | 38 | 0.03717 | -0.07386 | 64 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161 KV ' | 39.1 | -0.03669 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 161KV' | 32.55078 | 0.03717 | -0.07386 | 64 |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.68166 | -0.0288 | KACP | 'HAWTHORN 161KV' | 455 | 0.04042 | -0.06922 | 68 |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.68166 | -0.0288 | KACP | 'HAWTHORN 161KV' | 314 | 0.04042 | -0.06922 | 68 |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.68166 | -0.0288 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 13KV' | 36 | 0.03717 | -0.06597 | 72 |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.68166 | -0.0288 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 13KV' | 36 | 0.03717 | -0.06597 | 72 |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.68166 | -0.0288 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 13KV' | 38 | 0.03717 | -0.06597 | 72 |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.68166 | -0.0288 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 161KV' | 35 | 0.03717 | -0.06597 | 72 |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.68166 | -0.0288 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 161KV' | 38 | 0.03717 | -0.06597 | 72 |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.68166 | -0.0288 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 161KV' | 32.55078 | 0.03717 | -0.06597 | 72 |
| MIPU | 'RALPH GREEN 69KV' | 73.7 | -0.11364 | MIPU | 'SOUTH HARPER 161KV' | 274.6863 | -0.04884 | -0.0648 | 73 |


| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161KV' | 39.1 | -0.03669 | KACP | 'IATAN 345KV' | 396 | 0.01542 | -0.05211 | 91 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Decrement and Maximum Increment were determine from the Souce and Sink Operating Points in the study models wher <br> Factor $=$ Source GSF - Sink GSF <br> Redispatch Amount $=$ Relief Amount $/$ Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upgrade: | BLUE SPRINGS EAST - DUNCAN ROAD 161KV CKT 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Limiting Facility: | BLUE SPRINGS EAST - DUNCAN ROAD 161KV CKT 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direction: | To->From |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Line Outage: | ORRICK - RICHMOND 161KV CKT 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flowgate: | 59205592351592445923611106SP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date Redispatch Needed: | 6/1/06-10/1/06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Season Flowgate Identified: 2006 Summer Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reservation | Relief Amount | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Aggregate Relief } \\ \text { Amount } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1032955 | 0.8 | 4.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1034307 | 4.1 | 4.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source Control Area | Source | Maximum Increment(MW) | GSF | Sink Control Area | Sink | Maximum Decrement(MW) | GSF | Factor | Redispatch Amount (MW) |
| MIPU | 'GREENWOOD 161KV' | 255.8 | -0.18518 | MIPU | SIBLEY 161KV' | 230.2233 | 0.19121 | -0.37639 | 13 |
| MIPU | 'ARIES 161KV' | 595 | -0.14198 | MIPU | SIBLEY 161KV' | 230.2233 | 0.19121 | -0.33319 | 14 |
| MIPU | 'GREENWOOD 161KV' | 255.8 | -0.18518 | MIPU | 'SIBLEY 69KV' | 45.99999 | 0.16359 | -0.34877 | 14 |
| MIPU | 'ARIES 161KV' | 595 | -0.14198 | MIPU | 'SIBLEY 69KV' | 45.99999 | 0.16359 | -0.30557 | 16 |
| MIPU | 'RALPH GREEN 69KV' | 73.7 | -0.11371 | MIPU | 'SIBLEY 161KV' | 230.2233 | 0.19121 | -0.30492 | 16 |
| MIPU | 'RALPH GREEN 69KV' | 73.7 | -0.11371 | MIPU | 'SIBLEY 69KV' | 45.99999 | 0.16359 | -0.2773 | 17 |
| MIPU | 'NEVADA 69KV' | 20.3 | -0.04556 | MIPU | SIBLEY 161KV' | 230.2233 | 0.19121 | -0.23677 | 20 |
| MIPU | 'GREENWOOD 161KV' | 255.8 | -0.18518 | MIPU | 'LAKE ROAD 161KV' | 35 | 0.03552 | -0.2207 | 22 |
| MIPU | 'GREENWOOD 161KV' | 255.8 | -0.18518 | MIPU | 'LAKE ROAD 34KV' | 92 | 0.03552 | -0.2207 | 22 |
| MIPU | 'NEVADA 69KV' | 20.3 | -0.04556 | MIPU | 'SIBLEY 69KV' | 45.99999 | 0.16359 | -0.20915 | 23 |
| MIPU | 'ARIES 161KV' | 595 | -0.14198 | MIPU | 'LAKE ROAD 161KV' | 35 | 0.03552 | -0.1775 | 27 |
| MIPU | 'ARIES 161KV' | 595 | -0.14198 | MIPU | 'LAKE ROAD 34KV' | 92 | 0.03552 | -0.1775 | 27 |
| MIPU | 'RALPH GREEN 69KV' | 73.7 | -0.11371 | MIPU | 'LAKE ROAD 161KV' | 35 | 0.03552 | -0.14923 | 32 |
| MIPU | 'RALPH GREEN 69KV' | 73.7 | -0.11371 | MIPU | 'LAKE ROAD 34KV' | 92 | 0.03552 | -0.14923 | 32 |
| MIPU | 'GREENWOOD 161KV' | 255.8 | -0.18518 | MIPU | 'SOUTH HARPER 161KV' | 232.4752 | -0.04893 | -0.13625 | 35 |
| MIPU | 'ARIES 161KV' | 595 | -0.14198 | MIPU | 'SOUTH HARPER 161KV' | 232.4752 | -0.04893 | -0.09305 | 52 |
| MIPU | 'NEVADA 69KV' | 20.3 | -0.04556 | MIPU | 'LAKE ROAD 161KV' | 35 | 0.03552 | -0.08108 | 60 |
| MIPU | 'NEVADA 69KV' | 20.3 | -0.04556 | MIPU | 'LAKE ROAD 34KV' | 92 | 0.03552 | -0.08108 | 60 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161 KV ' | 39.1 | -0.03666 | KACP | 'HAWTHORN 161KV' | 455 | 0.04087 | -0.07753 | 62 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161 KV ' | 39.1 | -0.03666 | KACP | 'HAWTHORN 161KV' | 314 | 0.04087 | -0.07753 | 62 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161 KV ' | 39.1 | -0.03666 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 13KV' | 36 | 0.03795 | -0.07461 | 65 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161 KV ' | 39.1 | -0.03666 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 13KV' | 36 | 0.03795 | -0.07461 | 65 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161 KV ' | 39.1 | -0.03666 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 13KV' | 38 | 0.03795 | -0.07461 | 65 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161 KV ' | 39.1 | -0.03666 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 161KV' | 35 | 0.03795 | -0.07461 | 65 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161 KV ' | 39.1 | -0.03666 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 161KV' | 38 | 0.03795 | -0.07461 | 65 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161 KV ' | 39.1 | -0.03666 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 161KV' | 27.89355 | 0.03795 | -0.07461 | 65 |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.81216 | -0.02891 | KACP | 'HAWTHORN 161KV' | 455 | 0.04087 | -0.06978 | 69 |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.81216 | -0.02891 | KACP | 'HAWTHORN 161KV' | 314 | 0.04087 | -0.06978 | 69 |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.81216 | -0.02891 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 13KV' | 36 | 0.03795 | -0.06686 | 72 |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.81216 | -0.02891 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 13KV' | 36 | 0.03795 | -0.06686 | 72 |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.81216 | -0.02891 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 13KV' | 38 | 0.03795 | -0.06686 | 72 |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.81216 | -0.02891 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 161KV' | 35 | 0.03795 | -0.06686 | 72 |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.81216 | -0.02891 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 161KV' | 38 | 0.03795 | -0.06686 | 72 |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.81216 | -0.02891 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 161KV' | 27.89355 | 0.03795 | -0.06686 | 72 |
| MIPU | 'RALPH GREEN 69KV' | 73.7 | -0.11371 | MIPU | 'SOUTH HARPER 161KV' | 232.4752 | -0.04893 | -0.06478 | 75 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161KV' | 39.1 | -0.03666 | KACP | 'IATAN 345KV' | 396 | 0.0154 | -0.05206 | 93 |

Maximum Decrement and Maximum Increment were determine from the Souce and Sink Operating Points in the study models where limiting facility was identified.
Redispatch Amount $=$ Relief Amount $/$ Factor

| Upgrade: | BLUE SPRINGS EAST - DUNCAN ROAD 161 | 61KV CKT 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Limiting Facility: | BLUE SPRINGS EAST - DUNCAN ROAD 16 | 61KV CKT 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direction: | To->From |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Line Outage: | ORRICK - RICHMOND 161KV CKT 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flowgate: | 59205592351592445923611107SP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date Redispatch Needed: | 6/1/07-10/1/07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Season Flowgate Identified: | 2007 Summer Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reservation | Relief Amount | Aggregate Relief Amount |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1032955 | 0.8 | 4.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1034307 | 4.0 | 4.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source Control Area | Source | Maximum Increment(MW) | GSF | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Sink } \\ \text { Control } \\ \text { Area } \end{array}$ | Sink | Maximum Decrement(MW) | GSF | Factor | Redispatch Amount (MW) |
| MIPU | 'GREENWOOD 161KV' | 255.8 | -0.18515 | MIPU | 'SIBLEY 161KV' | 232.7727 | 0.19105 | -0.3762 | 13 |
| MIPU | 'ARIES 161KV' | 595 | -0.14194 | MIPU | 'SIBLEY 161KV' | 232.7727 | 0.19105 | -0.33299 | 14 |
| MIPU | 'GREENWOOD 161KV' | 255.8 | -0.18515 | MIPU | 'SIBLEY 69KV' | 45.99999 | 0.16339 | -0.34854 | 14 |
| MIPU | 'ARIES 161KV' | 595 | -0.14194 | MIPU | 'SIBLEY 69KV' | 45.99999 | 0.16339 | -0.30533 | 16 |
| MIPU | 'GREENWOOD 161KV' | 255.8 | -0.18515 | MIPU | 'LAKE ROAD 161KV' | 35 | 0.03534 | -0.22049 | 21 |
| MIPU | 'GREENWOOD 161KV' | 255.8 | -0.18515 | MIPU | 'LAKE ROAD 34KV' | 92 | 0.03534 | -0.22049 | 21 |
| MIPU | 'ARIES 161KV' | 595 | -0.14194 | MIPU | 'LAKE ROAD 161KV' | 35 | 0.03534 | -0.17728 | 27 |
| MIPU | 'ARIES 161KV' | 595 | -0.14194 | MIPU | 'LAKE ROAD 34KV' | 92 | 0.03534 | -0.17728 | 27 |
| MIPU | 'GREENWOOD 161KV' | 255.8 | -0.18515 | MIPU | 'SOUTH HARPER 161KV' | 274.6863 | -0.04884 | -0.13631 | 35 |
| MIPU | 'ARIES 161KV' | 595 | -0.14194 | MIPU | 'SOUTH HARPER 161KV' | 274.6863 | -0.04884 | -0.0931 | 51 |
| MIPU | 'NEVADA 69KV' | 20.3 | -0.04529 | MIPU | 'LAKE ROAD 161KV' | 35 | 0.03534 | -0.08063 | 59 |
| MIPU | 'NEVADA 69KV' | 20.3 | -0.04529 | MIPU | 'LAKE ROAD 34KV' | 92 | 0.03534 | -0.08063 | 59 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161 KV ' | 39.1 | -0.03669 | KACP | 'HAWTHORN 161KV' | 455 | 0.04042 | -0.07711 | 61 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161 KV ' | 39.1 | -0.03669 | KACP | 'HAWTHORN 161KV' | 314 | 0.04042 | -0.07711 | 61 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161 KV ' | 39.1 | -0.03669 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 13KV' | 36 | 0.03717 | -0.07386 | 64 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161 KV ' | 39.1 | -0.03669 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 13KV' | 36 | 0.03717 | -0.07386 | 64 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161 KV ' | 39.1 | -0.03669 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 13KV' | 38 | 0.03717 | -0.07386 | 64 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161 KV ' | 39.1 | -0.03669 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 161KV' | 35 | 0.03717 | -0.07386 | 64 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161 KV ' | 39.1 | -0.03669 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 161KV' | 38 | 0.03717 | -0.07386 | 64 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161 KV ' | 39.1 | -0.03669 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 161KV' | 32.55078 | 0.03717 | -0.07386 | 64 |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.68166 | -0.0288 | KACP | 'HAWTHORN 161KV' | 455 | 0.04042 | -0.06922 | 68 |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.68166 | -0.0288 | KACP | 'HAWTHORN 161KV' | 314 | 0.04042 | -0.06922 | 68 |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.68166 | -0.0288 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 13KV' | 36 | 0.03717 | -0.06597 | 72 |


| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.68166 | -0.0288 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 13KV' | 36 | 0.03717 | -0.06597 | 72 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.68166 | -0.0288 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 13KV' | 38 | 0.03717 | -0.06597 | 72 |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.68166 | -0.0288 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 161KV' | 35 | 0.03717 | -0.06597 | 72 |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.68166 | -0.0288 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 161KV' | 38 | 0.03717 | -0.06597 | 72 |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.68166 | -0.0288 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 161KV' | 32.55078 | 0.03717 | -0.06597 | 72 |
| MIPU | 'RALPH GREEN 69KV' | 73.7 | -0.11364 | MIPU | 'SOUTH HARPER 161KV' | 274.6863 | -0.04884 | -0.0648 | 73 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161KV' | 39.1 | -0.03669 | KACP | 'IATAN 345KV' | 396 | 0.01542 | -0.05211 | 91 |

Factor = Source GSF - Sink GSF
Redispatch Amount $=$ Relief Amount $/$ Factor

| Upgrade: | BLUE SPRINGS EAST - DUNCAN ROAD 1 | 61KV CKT 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Limiting Facility: | BLUE SPRINGS EAST - DUNCAN ROAD 1 | 61KV CKT 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direction: | To->From |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Line Outage: | PLEASANT HILL () 345/161/13.8KV TRANS | SFORMER CKT 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flowgate: | 59205592351PHILL737511106SP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date Redispatch Needed: | 6/1/06-10/1/06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Season Flowgate Identified: | 2006 Summer Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reservation | Relief Amount | Aggregate Relief Amount |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1032955 | 0.5 | 3.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1034307 | 3.1 | 3.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source Control Area | Source | Maximum Increment(MW) | GSF | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Sink } \\ \text { Control } \end{array}$ Area | Sink | Maximum Decrement(MW) | GSF | Factor | Redispatch Amount (MW) |
| MIPU | 'GREENWOOD 161KV' | 255.8 | -0.2726 | MIPU | 'SIBLEY 161KV' | 230.2233 | 0.15485 | -0.42745 | 8 |
| MIPU | 'ARIES 161KV' | 595 | -0.24243 | MIPU | 'SIBLEY 161KV' | 230.2233 | 0.15485 | -0.39728 | 9 |
| MIPU | 'GREENWOOD 161KV' | 255.8 | -0.2726 | MIPU | 'SIBLEY 69KV' | 45.99999 | 0.13272 | -0.40532 | 9 |
| MIPU | 'ARIES 161KV' | 595 | -0.24243 | MIPU | 'SIBLEY 69KV' | 45.99999 | 0.13272 | -0.37515 | 10 |
| MIPU | 'RALPH GREEN 69KV' | 73.7 | -0.16187 | MIPU | 'SIBLEY 161KV' | 230.2233 | 0.15485 | -0.31672 | 11 |
| MIPU | 'GREENWOOD 161KV' | 255.8 | -0.2726 | MIPU | 'LAKE ROAD 161KV' | 35 | 0.02878 | -0.30138 | 12 |
| MIPU | GREENWOOD 161KV' | 255.8 | -0.2726 | MIPU | 'LAKE ROAD 34KV' | 92 | 0.02878 | -0.30138 | 12 |
| MIPU | 'RALPH GREEN 69KV' | 73.7 | -0.16187 | MIPU | 'SIBLEY 69KV' | 45.99999 | 0.13272 | -0.29459 | 12 |
| MIPU | 'ARIES 161KV' | 595 | -0.24243 | MIPU | 'LAKE ROAD 161KV' | 35 | 0.02878 | -0.27121 | 13 |
| MIPU | 'ARIES 161KV' | 595 | -0.24243 | MIPU | 'LAKE ROAD 34KV' | 92 | 0.02878 | -0.27121 | 13 |
| MIPU | 'GREENWOOD 161KV' | 255.8 | -0.2726 | MIPU | 'SOUTH HARPER 161KV' | 232.4752 | -0.05024 | -0.22236 | 16 |
| MIPU | 'NEVADA 69KV' | 20.3 | -0.06432 | MIPU | 'SIBLEY 161KV' | 230.2233 | 0.15485 | -0.21917 | 17 |
| MIPU | 'NEVADA 69KV' | 20.3 | -0.06432 | MIPU | 'SIBLEY 69KV' | 45.99999 | 0.13272 | -0.19704 | 18 |
| MIPU | 'ARIES 161KV' | 595 | -0.24243 | MIPU | 'SOUTH HARPER 161KV' | 232.4752 | -0.05024 | -0.19219 | 19 |
| MIPU | 'RALPH GREEN 69KV' | 73.7 | -0.16187 | MIPU | 'LAKE ROAD 161KV' | 35 | 0.02878 | -0.19065 | 19 |
| MIPU | 'RALPH GREEN 69KV' | 73.7 | -0.16187 | MIPU | 'LAKE ROAD 34KV' | 92 | 0.02878 | -0.19065 | 19 |
| MIPU | 'RALPH GREEN 69KV' | 73.7 | -0.16187 | MIPU | 'SOUTH HARPER 161KV' | 232.4752 | -0.05024 | -0.11163 | 32 |
| MIPU | 'NEVADA 69KV' | 20.3 | -0.06432 | MIPU | 'LAKE ROAD 161KV' | 35 | 0.02878 | -0.0931 | 39 |
| MIPU | 'NEVADA 69KV' | 20.3 | -0.06432 | MIPU | 'LAKE ROAD 34KV' | 92 | 0.02878 | -0.0931 | 39 |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.81216 | -0.04268 | KACP | 'HAWTHORN 161KV' | 455 | 0.03194 | -0.07462 | 49 |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.81216 | -0.04268 | KACP | 'HAWTHORN 161KV' | 314 | 0.03194 | -0.07462 | 49 |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.81216 | -0.04268 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 13KV' | 36 | 0.02881 | -0.07149 | 51 |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.81216 | -0.04268 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 13KV' | 36 | 0.02881 | -0.07149 | 51 |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.81216 | -0.04268 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 13KV' | 38 | 0.02881 | -0.07149 | 51 |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.81216 | -0.04268 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 161KV' | 35 | 0.02881 | -0.07149 | 51 |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.81216 | -0.04268 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 161KV' | 38 | 0.02881 | -0.07149 | 51 |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.81216 | -0.04268 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 161KV' | 27.89355 | 0.02881 | -0.07149 | 51 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161KV' | 39.1 | -0.02274 | KACP | 'HAWTHORN 161KV' | 455 | 0.03194 | -0.05468 | 66 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161 KV ' | 39.1 | -0.02274 | KACP | 'HAWTHORN 161KV' | 314 | 0.03194 | -0.05468 | 66 |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.81216 | -0.04268 | KACP | 'IATAN 345KV' | 396 | 0.0114 | -0.05408 | 67 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161KV' | 39.1 | -0.02274 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 13KV' | 36 | 0.02881 | -0.05155 | 70 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161KV' | 39.1 | -0.02274 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 13KV' | 36 | 0.02881 | -0.05155 | 70 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161KV' | 39.1 | -0.02274 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 13KV' | 38 | 0.02881 | -0.05155 | 70 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161KV' | 39.1 | -0.02274 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 161KV' | 35 | 0.02881 | -0.05155 | 70 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161KV' | 39.1 | -0.02274 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 161KV' | 38 | 0.02881 | -0.05155 | 70 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161KV' | 39.1 | -0.02274 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 161KV' | 27.89355 | 0.02881 | -0.05155 | 70 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161KV' | 39.1 | -0.02274 | KACP | 'IATAN 345KV' | 396 | 0.0114 | -0.03414 | 106 |

Maximum Decrement and Maximum Increment were determine from the Souce and Sink Operating Points in the study models where limiting facility was identified.
Factor $=$ Source GSF - Sink GSF
Redispatch Amount $=$ Relief Amount $/$ Factor

| Upgrade: | BLUE SPRINGS EAST - DUNCAN ROAD 161 | 61KV CKT 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Limiting Facility: | BLUE SPRINGS EAST - DUNCAN ROAD 161 | 61KV CKT 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direction: | To->From |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Line Outage: | PLEASANT HILL () 345/161/13.8KV TRANS | SFORMER CKT 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flowgate: | 59205592351PHILL737511107SP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date Redispatch Needed: | 6/1/07-10/1/07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Season Flowgate Identified: | 2007 Summer Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reservation | Relief Amount | Aggregate Relief Amount |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1032955 | 0.5 | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source Control Area | Source | Maximum Increment(MW) | GSF | Sink <br> Control Area | Sink | Maximum Decrement(MW) | GSF | Factor | Redispatch Amount (MW) |
| MIPU | 'ARIES 161KV' | 595 | -0.24241 | MIPU | 'SIBLEY 161KV' | 232.7727 | 0.15465 | -0.39706 | 1 |
| MIPU | 'ARIES 161KV' | 595 | -0.24241 | MIPU | 'SIBLEY 69KV' | 45.99999 | 0.1325 | -0.37491 | 1 |
| MIPU | 'GREENWOOD 161KV' | 255.8 | -0.2726 | MIPU | 'SIBLEY 161KV' | 232.7727 | 0.15465 | -0.42725 | 1 |
| MIPU | 'GREENWOOD 161KV' | 255.8 | -0.2726 | MIPU | 'SIBLEY 69KV' | 45.99999 | 0.1325 | -0.4051 | 1 |
| MIPU | 'ARIES 161KV' | 595 | -0.24241 | MIPU | 'LAKE ROAD 161KV' | 35 | 0.02858 | -0.27099 | 2 |
| MIPU | 'ARIES 161KV' | 595 | -0.24241 | MIPU | 'LAKE ROAD 34KV' | 92 | 0.02858 | -0.27099 | 2 |
| MIPU | 'GREENWOOD 161KV' | 255.8 | -0.2726 | MIPU | 'LAKE ROAD 161KV' | 35 | 0.02858 | -0.30118 | 2 |
| MIPU | 'GREENWOOD 161KV' | 255.8 | -0.2726 | MIPU | 'LAKE ROAD 34KV' | 92 | 0.02858 | -0.30118 | 2 |
| MIPU | 'GREENWOOD 161KV' | 255.8 | -0.2726 | MIPU | 'SOUTH HARPER 161KV' | 274.6863 | -0.05019 | -0.22241 | 2 |
| MIPU | 'RALPH GREEN 69KV' | 73.7 | -0.16184 | MIPU | 'SIBLEY 161KV' | 232.7727 | 0.15465 | -0.31649 | 2 |
| MIPU | 'RALPH GREEN 69KV' | 73.7 | -0.16184 | MIPU | 'SIBLEY 69KV' | 45.99999 | 0.1325 | -0.29434 | 2 |
| MIPU | 'ARIES 161KV' | 595 | -0.24241 | MIPU | 'SOUTH HARPER 161KV' | 274.6863 | -0.05019 | -0.19222 | 3 |
| MIPU | 'RALPH GREEN 69KV' | 73.7 | -0.16184 | MIPU | 'LAKE ROAD 161KV' | 35 | 0.02858 | -0.19042 | 3 |
| MIPU | 'RALPH GREEN 69KV' | 73.7 | -0.16184 | MIPU | 'LAKE ROAD 34KV' | 92 | 0.02858 | -0.19042 | 3 |
| MIPU | 'RALPH GREEN 69KV' | 73.7 | -0.16184 | MIPU | 'SOUTH HARPER 161KV' | 274.6863 | -0.05019 | -0.11165 | 4 |
| MIPU | 'NEVADA 69KV' | 20.3 | -0.06403 | MIPU | 'LAKE ROAD 161KV' | 35 | 0.02858 | -0.09261 | 5 |
| MIPU | 'NEVADA 69KV' | 20.3 | -0.06403 | MIPU | 'LAKE ROAD 34KV' | 92 | 0.02858 | -0.09261 | 5 |

Table 6 - Potential Redispatch Relief Pairs to Prevent Deferral of Service

| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.68166 | -0.0426 | KACP | 'HAWTHORN 161KV' | 455 | 0.03149 | -0.07409 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.68166 | -0.0426 | KACP | 'HAWTHORN 161KV' | 314 | 0.03149 | -0.07409 | 7 |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.68166 | -0.0426 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 13KV' | 36 | 0.02805 | -0.07065 | 7 |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.68166 | -0.0426 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 13KV' | 36 | 0.02805 | -0.07065 | 7 |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.68166 | -0.0426 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 13KV' | 38 | 0.02805 | -0.07065 | 7 |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.68166 | -0.0426 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 161KV' | 35 | 0.02805 | -0.07065 | 7 |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.68166 | -0.0426 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 161KV' | 38 | 0.02805 | -0.07065 | 7 |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.68166 | -0.0426 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 161KV' | 32.55078 | 0.02805 | -0.07065 | 7 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161 KV ' | 39.1 | -0.02291 | KACP | 'HAWTHORN 161KV' | 455 | 0.03149 | -0.0544 | 9 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161 KV ' | 39.1 | -0.02291 | KACP | 'HAWTHORN 161KV' | 314 | 0.03149 | -0.0544 | 9 |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.68166 | -0.0426 | KACP | 'IATAN 345KV' | 396 | 0.01139 | -0.05399 | 9 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161 KV ' | 39.1 | -0.02291 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 13KV' | 36 | 0.02805 | -0.05096 | 10 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161 KV ' | 39.1 | -0.02291 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 13KV' | 36 | 0.02805 | -0.05096 | 10 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161 KV ' | 39.1 | -0.02291 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 13KV' | 38 | 0.02805 | -0.05096 | 10 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161 KV ' | 39.1 | -0.02291 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 161KV' | 35 | 0.02805 | -0.05096 | 10 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161 KV ' | 39.1 | -0.02291 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 161KV' | 38 | 0.02805 | -0.05096 | 10 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161 KV ' | 39.1 | -0.02291 | KACP | 'NORTHEAST 161KV' | 32.55078 | 0.02805 | -0.05096 | 10 |
| SWPA | 'STOCKTON 161KV' | 7.900002 | -0.03235 | SWPA | 'CLARENCE CANNON DAM 69KV' | 39.2 | 0.00498 | -0.03733 | 13 |
| KACP | 'MARSHALL 161 KV ' | 39.1 | -0.02291 | KACP | 'IATAN 345KV' | 396 | 0.01139 | -0.0343 | 14 |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.68166 | -0.0426 | KACP | 'BULL CREEK 161KV' | 308 | -0.00865 | -0.03395 | 14 |
| SWPA | 'STOCKTON 161KV' | 7.900002 | -0.03235 | SWPA | 'SIKESTON 161KV' | 235 | -0.00028 | -0.03207 | 15 |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.68166 | -0.0426 | KACP | 'LACYGNE UNIT 345KV' | 958 | -0.01131 | -0.03129 | 16 |
| SWPA | 'STOCKTON 161KV' | 7.900002 | -0.03235 | SWPA | 'JONESBORO 161KV' | 63 | -0.00167 | -0.03068 | 16 |
| SWPA | 'STOCKTON 161KV' | 7.900002 | -0.03235 | SWPA | 'KENNETT 69KV' | 7.2 | -0.00098 | -0.03137 | 16 |
| SWPA | 'STOCKTON 161KV' | 7.900002 | -0.03235 | SWPA | 'MALDEN 69KV' | 7 | -0.00075 | -0.0316 | 16 |
| SWPA | 'STOCKTON 161KV' | 7.900002 | -0.03235 | SWPA | 'PARAGOULD 69KV' | 5.5 | -0.00138 | -0.03097 | 16 |
| SWPA | 'STOCKTON 161KV' | 7.900002 | -0.03235 | SWPA | 'POPLAR BLUFF 69KV' | 6 | -0.00092 | -0.03143 | 16 |

Maximum Decrement and Maximum Increment were determine from the Souce and Sink Operating Points in the study models where limiting facility was identified.
Factor = Source GSF - Sink GSF
Redispatch Amount $=$ Relief Amount $/$ Factor


Table 6 - Potential Redispatch Relief Pairs to Prevent Deferral of Service

| Reservation | Relief Amount | Aggregate Relief Amount |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1023236 | 0.5 | 10.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1032973 | 10.4 | 10.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source Control Area | Source | Maximum Increment(MW) | GSF | Sink <br> Control <br> Area Area | Sink | Maximum Decrement(MW) | GSF | Factor | Redispatch Amount (MW) |
| OKGE | 'AES 161KV' | 78.99999 | 0.00003 | OKGE | 'FPLWND2 34KV' | 101.9968 | 0.97308 | -0.97305 | 11 |
| OKGE | 'HORSESHOE LAKE 138KV' | 380.5 | 0.00022 | OKGE | 'FPLWND2 34KV' | 101.9968 | 0.97308 | -0.97286 | 1 |
| OKGE | 'HORSESHOE LAKE 138KV' | 380 | 0.00022 | OKGE | 'FPLWND2 34KV' | 101.9968 | 0.97308 | -0.97286 | 11 |
| OKGE | 'HORSESHOE LAKE 138KV' | 91 | 0.00022 | OKGE | 'FPLWND2 34KV' | 101.9968 | 0.97308 | -0.97286 | 11 |
| OKGE | 'HORSESHOE LAKE 69KV' | 16 | 0.00021 | OKGE | 'FPLWND2 34KV' | 101.9968 | 0.97308 | -0.97287 | 11 |
| OKGE | 'MCCLAIN 138KV' | 42 | 0.00034 | OKGE | 'FPLWND2 34KV' | 101.9968 | 0.97308 | -0.97274 | 11 |
| OKGE | 'MUSKOGEE 161KV' | 166 | 0.00003 | OKGE | 'FPLWND2 34KV' | 101.9968 | 0.97308 | -0.97305 | 11 |
| OKGE | 'MUSKOGEE 161KV' | 31 | 0.00003 | OKGE | 'FPLWND2 34KV' | 101.9968 | 0.97308 | -0.97305 | 11 |
| OKGE | 'MUSKOGEE 345KV' | 20 | 0.00004 | OKGE | 'FPLWND2 34KV' | 101.9968 | 0.97308 | -0.97304 | 11 |
| OKGE | 'MUSTANG 138KV' | 365.5 | 0.00035 | OKGE | 'FPLWND2 34KV' | 101.9968 | 0.97308 | -0.97273 | 11 |
| OKGE | 'MUSTANG 69KV' | 106 | 0.0004 | OKGE | 'FPLWND2 34KV' | 101.9968 | 0.97308 | -0.97268 | 11 |
| OKGE | 'ONE OAK 345KV' | 319 | 0.00012 | OKGE | 'FPLWND2 34KV' | 101.9968 | 0.97308 | -0.97296 | 11 |
| OKGE | 'REDBUD 345KV' | 900 | 0.00014 | OKGE | 'FPLWND2 34KV' | 101.9968 | 0.97308 | -0.97294 | 11 |
| OKGE | 'REDBUD 345KV' | 421.65 | 0.00014 | OKGE | 'FPLWND2 34KV' | 101.9968 | 0.97308 | -0.97294 | 11 |
| OKGE | 'SEMINOLE 138KV' | 309.2084 | 0.00018 | OKGE | 'FPLWND2 34KV' | 101.9968 | 0.97308 | -0.9729 | 11 |
| OKGE | 'SEMINOLE 345KV' | 507.6 | 0.00018 | OKGE | 'FPLWND2 34KV' | 101.9968 | 0.97308 | -0.9729 | 11 |
| OKGE | 'SOONER 138KV' | 24.99997 | -0.00031 | OKGE | 'FPLWND2 34KV' | 101.9968 | 0.97308 | -0.97339 | 11 |
| OKGE | 'SOUTH 4TH ST 69KV' | 42.7 | -0.00162 | OKGE | 'FPLWND2 34KV' | 101.9968 | 0.97308 | -0.9747 | 11 |
| OKGE | 'TINKER 5G 138KV' | 62 | 0.00024 | OKGE | 'FPLWND2 34KV' | 101.9968 | 0.97308 | -0.97284 | 11 |
| OKGE | 'AES 161KV' | 78.99999 | 0.00003 | OKGE | 'SLEEPING BEAR 34KV' | 120 | 0.81258 | -0.81255 | 13 |
| OKGE | 'HORSESHOE LAKE 138KV' | 380 | 0.00022 | OKGE | 'SLEEPING BEAR 34KV' | 120 | 0.81258 | -0.81236 | 13 |
| OKGE | 'HORSESHOE LAKE 138KV' | 91 | 0.00022 | OKGE | 'SLEEPING BEAR 34KV' | 120 | 0.81258 | -0.81236 | 13 |
| OKGE | 'HORSESHOE LAKE 138KV' | 380.5 | 0.00022 | OKGE | 'SLEEPING BEAR 34KV' | 120 | 0.81258 | -0.81236 | 13 |
| OKGE | 'HORSESHOE LAKE 69KV' | 16 | 0.00021 | OKGE | 'SLEEPING BEAR 34KV' | 120 | 0.81258 | -0.81237 | 13 |
| OKGE | 'MCCLAIN 138KV' | 42 | 0.00034 | OKGE | 'SLEEPING BEAR 34KV' | 120 | 0.81258 | -0.81224 | 13 |
| OKGE | 'MUSKOGEE 161KV' | 31 | 0.00003 | OKGE | 'SLEEPING BEAR 34KV' | 120 | 0.81258 | -0.81255 | 13 |
| OKGE | 'MUSKOGEE 161KV' | 166 | 0.00003 | OKGE | 'SLEEPING BEAR 34KV' | 120 | 0.81258 | -0.81255 | 13 |
| OKGE | 'MUSKOGEE 345KV' | 20 | 0.00004 | OKGE | 'SLEEPING BEAR 34KV' | 120 | 0.81258 | -0.81254 | 13 |
| OKGE | 'MUSTANG 138KV' | 365.5 | 0.00035 | OKGE | 'SLEEPING BEAR 34KV' | 120 | 0.81258 | -0.81223 | 13 |
| OKGE | 'MUSTANG 69KV' | 106 | 0.0004 | OKGE | 'SLEEPING BEAR 34KV' | 120 | 0.81258 | -0.81218 | 13 |
| OKGE | 'ONE OAK 345KV' | 319 | 0.00012 | OKGE | 'SLEEPING BEAR 34KV' | 120 | 0.81258 | -0.81246 | 13 |
| OKGE | 'REDBUD 345KV' | 900 | 0.00014 | OKGE | 'SLEEPING BEAR 34KV' | 120 | 0.81258 | -0.81244 | 13 |
| OKGE | 'REDBUD 345KV' | 421.65 | 0.00014 | OKGE | 'SLEEPING BEAR 34KV' | 120 | 0.81258 | -0.81244 | 13 |
| OKGE | 'SEMINOLE 138KV' | 309.2084 | 0.00018 | OKGE | 'SLEEPING BEAR 34KV' | 120 | 0.81258 | -0.8124 | 13 |
| OKGE | 'SEMINOLE 345KV' | 507.6 | 0.00018 | OKGE | 'SLEEPING BEAR 34KV' | 120 | 0.81258 | -0.8124 | 13 |
| OKGE | 'SOONER 138KV' | 24.99997 | -0.00031 | OKGE | 'SLEEPING BEAR 34KV' | 120 | 0.81258 | -0.81289 | 13 |
| OKGE | 'SOUTH 4TH ST 69KV' | 42.7 | -0.00162 | OKGE | 'SLEEPING BEAR 34KV' | 120 | 0.81258 | -0.8142 | 13 |
| OKGE | 'TINKER 5G 138KV' | 62 | 0.00024 | OKGE | 'SLEEPING BEAR 34KV' | 120 | 0.81258 | -0.81234 | 13 |
| WFEC | 'MORLND 138KV' | 148.9085 | -0.02454 | WFEC | 'SLEEPING BEAR 138KV' | 80 | 0.05338 | -0.07792 | 140 |

Maximum Decrement and Maximum Increment were determine from the Souce and Sink Operating Points in the study models where limiting facility was identified.
Factor = Source GSF - Sink GSF
Redispatch Amount $=$ Relief Amount $/$ Factor


| OKGE | 'SEMINOLE 345KV' | 507.6 | 0.00203 | OKGE | 'SLEEPING BEAR | 34KV' | 120 | 0.73477 | -0.73274 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OKGE | 'SOONER 138KV' | 24.99997 | -0.00271 | OKGE | 'SLEEPING BEAR | 34KV' | 120 | 0.73477 | -0.73748 | 15 |
| OKGE | 'SOUTH 4TH ST 69KV' | 42.7 | -0.01641 | OKGE | 'SLEEPING BEAR | $34 \mathrm{KV}{ }^{\prime}$ | 120 | 0.73477 | -0.75118 | 15 |
| OKGE | 'TINKER 5G 138KV' | 62 | 0.00276 | OKGE | 'SLEEPING BEAR | 34KV' | 120 | 0.73477 | -0.73201 | 15 |

Maximum Decrement and Maximum Increment were determine from the Souce and Sink Operating Points in the study models where limiting facility was identified.
Factor = Source GSF - Sink GSF
Redispatch Amount $=$ Relief Amount $/$ Factor


Maximum Decrement and Maximum Increment were determine from the Souce and Sink Operating Points in the study models where limiting facility was identified.
Factor = Source GSF - Sink GSF
Redispatch Amount $=$ Relief Amount $/$ Factor


Maximum Decrement and Maximum Increment were determine from the Souce and Sink Operating Points in the study models where limiting facility was identified.
Factor = Source GSF - Sink GSF
Redispatch Amount $=$ Relief Amount $/$ Factor

| Upgrade: | FT SUPPLY 138/69KV TRANSFORMER CK | KT 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Limiting Facility: | FT SUPPLY 138/69KV TRANSFORMER CK | KT 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direction: | From->To |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Line Outage: | FT SUPPLY - IODINE 138KV CKT 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flowgate: | $55919559201559205595713107 A P$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date Redispatch Needed: | Starting 2007 4/1-6/1 Until EOC of Upgrad |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Season Flowgate Identified: | 2007 April Minimum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reservation | Relief Amount | Aggregate Relief Amount |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1023236 | 22.1 | 22.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source Control Area | Source | Maximum Increment(MW) | GSF | Sink <br> Control Area | Sink | Maximum Decrement(MW) | GSF | Factor | Redispatch Amount (MW) |
| WFEC | 'ANADARKO 138KV' | 259.9101 |  | WFEC | 'SLEEPING BEAR 138KV' | 80 | 1 | -1 | 22 |
| WFEC | 'ANADARKO 138KV' | 90 |  | WFEC | 'SLEEPING BEAR 138KV' | 80 | 1 | -1 | 22 |
| WFEC | 'ANADARKO 69KV' | 76 |  | WFEC | 'SLEEPING BEAR 138KV' | 80 | 1 | -1 | 22 |
| WFEC | 'HUGO 138KV' | 191.9206 |  | WFEC | 'SLEEPING BEAR 138KV' | 80 | 1 | -1 | 22 |
| WFEC | 'MORLND 138KV' | 320 |  | WFEC | 'SLEEPING BEAR 138KV' | 80 | 1 | -1 | 22 |

Maximum Decrement and Maximum Increment were determine from the Souce and Sink Operating Points in the study models where limiting facility was identified
Factor = Source GSF - Sink GSF
Redispatch Amount $=$ Relief Amount $/$ Factor


Maximum Decrement and Maximum Increment were determine from the Souce and Sink Operating Points in the study models where limiting facility was identified.
Factor $=$ Source GSF - Sink GSF
Redispatch Amount $=$ Relief Amount $/$ Factor

| Upgrade: | FT SUPPLY 138/69KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Limiting Facility: | FT SUPPLY 138/69KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1 |
| Direction: | From->To |
| Line Outage: | IODINE - MOORELAND 138KV CKT 1 |
| Flowgate: | 55919559201559575599911107WP |
| Date Redispatch Needed: | 12/1/07-4/1/08 |
| Season Flowgate Identified: | 2007 Winter Pe |
| Reservation | Relief Amount |

Table 6 - Potential Redispatch Relief Pairs to Prevent Deferral of Service


Maximum Decrement and Maximum Increment were determine from the Souce and Sink Operating Points in the study models where limiting facility was identified.
Factor = Source GSF - Sink GSF
Redispatch Amount $=$ Relief Amount $/$ Factor

| Upgrade: | HAMON BUTLER - MOREWOOD 69KV CK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Limiting Facility: | HAMON BUTLER - MOREWOOD 69KV CK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direction: | From->To |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Line Outage: | MOORELAND - MOREWOOD SW 138KV C | CKT 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flowgate: | 55942560001559995600111407 WP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date Redispatch Needed: | 12/1/07-4/1/08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Season Flowgate Identified: | 2007 Winter Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reservation | Relief Amount | Aggregate Relief Amount |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1023236 | 2.6 | 6.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1032973 | 3.8 | 6.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source Control Area | Source | Maximum Increment(MW) | GSF | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sink } \\ & \text { Control } \\ & \text { Area } \end{aligned}$ | Sink |  | Maximum Decrement(MW) | GSF | Factor | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Redispatch } \\ & \text { Amount } \\ & \text { (MW) } \end{aligned}$ |
| OKGE | 'MUSKOGEE 161KV' | 31 | 0.00098 | OKGE | 'SLEEPING BEAR | 34KV' | 120 | 0.09028 | -0.0893 | 71 |
| OKGE | 'MUSKOGEE 161KV' | 166 | 0.00098 | OKGE | 'SLEEPING BEAR | $34 \mathrm{KV}{ }^{\prime}$ | 120 | 0.09028 | -0.0893 | 71 |
| OKGE | 'SEMINOLE 138KV' | 304.5346 | 0.00043 | OKGE | 'SLEEPING BEAR | 34KV' | 120 | 0.09028 | -0.08985 | 71 |
| OKGE | SEMINOLE 345KV' | 507.6 | 0.00091 | OKGE | 'SLEEPING BEAR | 34KV' | 120 | 0.09028 | -0.08937 | 71 |
| OKGE | 'HORSESHOE LAKE 138KV' | 91 | 0.00193 | OKGE | 'SLEEPING BEAR | $34 \mathrm{KV}{ }^{\prime}$ | 120 | 0.09028 | -0.08835 | 72 |
| OKGE | 'HORSESHOE LAKE 138KV' | 380 | 0.00193 | OKGE | 'SLEEPING BEAR | 34KV' | 120 | 0.09028 | -0.08835 | 72 |
| OKGE | 'HORSESHOE LAKE 138KV' | 380.5 | 0.00193 | OKGE | 'SLEEPING BEAR | $34 \mathrm{KV}{ }^{\prime}$ | 120 | 0.09028 | -0.08835 | 72 |
| OKGE | 'MCCLAIN 138KV' | 42 | 0.00173 | OKGE | 'SLEEPING BEAR | $34 \mathrm{KV}{ }^{\prime}$ | 120 | 0.09028 | -0.08855 | 72 |
| OKGE | 'REDBUD 345KV' | 900 | 0.00223 | OKGE | 'SLEEPING BEAR | $34 \mathrm{KV}{ }^{\prime}$ | 120 | 0.09028 | -0.08805 | 72 |

Table 6 - Potential Redispatch Relief Pairs to Prevent Deferral of Service


Maximum Decrement and Maximum Increment were determine from the Souce and Sink Operating Points in the study models where limiting facility was identified.
Factor = Source GSF - Sink GSF
Redispatch Amount $=$ Relief Amount $/$ Factor


Table 6 - Potential Redispatch Relief Pairs to Prevent Deferral of Service

| OKGE | 'HORSESHOE LAKE 138KV' | 380 | -0.0583 | OKGE | 'FPLWND2 34KV' |  | 43.0032 | -0.00582 | -0.05248 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OKGE | 'HORSESHOE LAKE 138KV' | 91 | -0.0583 | OKGE | 'FPLWND2 34KV' |  | 43.0032 | -0.00582 | -0.05248 | 15 |
| OKGE | 'HORSESHOE LAKE 138KV' | 380.5 | -0.0583 | OKGE | 'FPLWND2 34KV' |  | 43.0032 | -0.00582 | -0.05248 | 15 |
| OKGE | 'HORSESHOE LAKE 69KV' | 16 | -0.056 | OKGE | 'MUSKOGEE 345KV' |  | 1516 | -0.00178 | -0.05422 | 15 |
| OKGE | 'HORSESHOE LAKE 69KV' | 16 | -0.056 | OKGE | 'FPLWND2 34KV' |  | 43.0032 | -0.00582 | -0.05018 | 16 |
| OKGE | 'HORSESHOE LAKE 138KV' | 380 | -0.0583 | OKGE | 'SOONER 138KV' |  | 505 | -0.01389 | -0.04441 | 18 |
| OKGE | 'HORSESHOE LAKE 138KV' | 91 | -0.0583 | OKGE | 'SOONER 138KV' |  | 505 | -0.01389 | -0.04441 | 18 |
| OKGE | 'HORSESHOE LAKE 138KV' | 380.5 | -0.0583 | OKGE | 'SOONER 138KV' |  | 505 | -0.01389 | -0.04441 | 18 |
| OKGE | 'HORSESHOE LAKE 138KV' | 380 | -0.0583 | OKGE | 'SOONER 345KV' |  | 513 | -0.01395 | -0.04435 | 18 |
| OKGE | 'HORSESHOE LAKE 138KV' | 380.5 | -0.0583 | OKGE | 'SOONER 345KV' |  | 513 | -0.01395 | -0.04435 | 18 |
| OKGE | 'HORSESHOE LAKE 138KV' | 91 | -0.0583 | OKGE | 'SOONER 345KV' |  | 513 | -0.01395 | -0.04435 | 18 |
| OKGE | 'TINKER 5G 138KV' | 62 | -0.02998 | OKGE | 'SEMINOLE 138KV' |  | 457.7387 | 0.01443 | -0.04441 | 18 |
| OKGE | 'TINKER 5G 138KV' | 62 | -0.02998 | OKGE | 'SEMINOLE 345KV' |  | 590.52 | 0.01418 | -0.04416 | 18 |
| OKGE | 'HORSESHOE LAKE 69KV' | 16 | -0.056 | OKGE | 'SOONER 138KV' |  | 505 | -0.01389 | -0.04211 | 19 |
| OKGE | 'HORSESHOE LAKE 69KV' | 16 | -0.056 | OKGE | 'SOONER 345KV' |  | 513 | -0.01395 | -0.04205 | 19 |
| OKGE | 'HORSESHOE LAKE 138KV' | 91 | -0.0583 | OKGE | 'ONE OAK 345KV' |  | 100 | -0.01875 | -0.03955 | 20 |
| OKGE | 'HORSESHOE LAKE 138KV' | 380 | -0.0583 | OKGE | 'ONE OAK 345KV' |  | 100 | -0.01875 | -0.03955 | 20 |
| OKGE | 'HORSESHOE LAKE 138KV' | 380.5 | -0.0583 | OKGE | 'ONE OAK 345KV' |  | 100 | -0.01875 | -0.03955 | 20 |
| WFEC | 'MORLND 138KV' | 320 | -0.00582 | WFEC | 'ANADARKO 138KV' |  | 227.1198 | 0.03305 | -0.03887 | 21 |
| OKGE | 'HORSESHOE LAKE 69KV' | 16 | -0.056 | OKGE | 'ONE OAK 345KV' |  | 100 | -0.01875 | -0.03725 | 22 |
| OKGE | 'ONE OAK 345KV' | 236 | -0.01875 | OKGE | 'SEMINOLE 138KV' |  | 457.7387 | 0.01443 | -0.03318 | 24 |
| OKGE | 'ONE OAK 345KV' | 236 | -0.01875 | OKGE | 'SEMINOLE 345KV' |  | 590.52 | 0.01418 | -0.03293 | 24 |
| OKGE | 'REDBUD 345KV' | 900 | -0.01763 | OKGE | 'SEMINOLE 138KV' |  | 457.7387 | 0.01443 | -0.03206 | 25 |
| OKGE | 'REDBUD 345KV' | 421.65 | -0.01763 | OKGE | 'SEMINOLE 138KV' |  | 457.7387 | 0.01443 | -0.03206 | 25 |
| OKGE | 'REDBUD 345KV' | 421.65 | -0.01763 | OKGE | 'SEMINOLE 345KV' |  | 590.52 | 0.01418 | -0.03181 | 25 |
| OKGE | 'REDBUD 345KV' | 900 | -0.01763 | OKGE | 'SEMINOLE 345KV' |  | 590.52 | 0.01418 | -0.03181 | 25 |
| AEPW | 'COGENTRIX 345KV' | 229 | -0.0049 | AEPW | SOUTHWESTERN STATION | 138KV' | 29 | 0.02625 | -0.03115 | 26 |
| AEPW | 'NORTHEASTERN STATION 138KV' | 198 | -0.00457 | AEPW | SOUTHWESTERN STATION | 138KV' | 29 | 0.02625 | -0.03082 | 26 |
| AEPW | 'NORTHEASTERN STATION 345KV' | 94.99997 | -0.00435 | AEPW | 'SOUTHWESTERN STATION | 138KV' | 29 | 0.02625 | -0.0306 | 26 |
| AEPW | 'OEC 345KV' | 1210 | -0.00407 | AEPW | 'SOUTHWESTERN STATION | 138KV' | 29 | 0.02625 | -0.03032 | 26 |
| AEPW | 'RIVERSIDE STATION 138KV' | 523 | -0.00442 | AEPW | 'SOUTHWESTERN STATION | 138KV' | 29 | 0.02625 | -0.03067 | 26 |
| OKGE | 'TINKER 5G 138KV' | 62 | -0.02998 | OKGE | 'AES 161KV' |  | 320 | 0.00089 | -0.03087 | 26 |
| AEPW | 'TULSA POWER STATION 138KV' | 147 | -0.00475 | AEPW | 'SOUTHWESTERN STATION | 138KV' | 29 | 0.02625 | -0.031 | 26 |
| AEPW | 'TULSA POWER STATION 138KV' | 147 | -0.00475 | AEPW | 'SOUTHWESTERN STATION | 138KV' | 29 | 0.02625 | -0.031 | 26 |
| AEPW | 'TULSA POWER STATION 69KV' | 24 | -0.00475 | AEPW | SOUTHWESTERN STATION | 138KV' | 29 | 0.02625 | -0.031 | 26 |
| AEPW | 'TULSA POWER STATION 69KV' | 33 | -0.00475 | AEPW | SOUTHWESTERN STATION | $138 \mathrm{KV}^{\prime}$ | 29 | 0.02625 | -0.031 | 26 |
| AEPW | 'TULSA POWER STATION 69KV' | 23 | -0.00475 | AEPW | SOUTHWESTERN STATION | 138KV' | 29 | 0.02625 | -0.031 | 26 |
| AEPW | 'MID-CONTINENT 138KV' | 142.11 | -0.00392 | AEPW | 'SOUTHWESTERN STATION | 138KV' | 29 | 0.02625 | -0.03017 | 27 |

Maximum Decrement and Maximum Increment were determine from the Souce and Sink Operating Points in the study models where limiting facility was identified.
Factor = Source GSF - Sink GSF
Redispatch Amount $=$ Relief Amount $/$ Factor

| Upgrade: | SOUTH WAVERLY 161/69KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1 Redispatch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Limiting Facility: | SOUTH WAVERLY 161/69KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direction: | From->To |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Line Outage: | NORTON - NORTON 161KV CKT 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flowgate: | 58063580941961055806411206SH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date Redispatch Needed: | 6/1/06-10/1/06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Season Flowgate Identified: 2006 Summer Sh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reservation | Relief Amount | Aggregate Relief Amount |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1031553 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source Control Area | Source | Maximum Increment(MW) | GSF | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Sink } \\ \text { Control } \end{array}$ Area | Sink | Maximum Decrement(MW) | GSF | Factor | Redispatch Amount (MW) |
| KACP | 'CITY OF HIGGINSVILLE 69KV' | 36 | -0.24049 | KACP | 'MARSHALL 161KV' | 30 | 0.06905 | -0.30954 | 3 |
| KACP | 'CITY OF HIGGINSVILLE 69KV' | 36 | -0.24049 | KACP | 'HAWTHORN 161KV' | 455 | -0.00474 | -0.23575 | 4 |
| KACP | 'CITY OF HIGGINSVILLE 69KV' | 36 | -0.24049 | KACP | 'HAWTHORN 161KV' | 254.7039 | -0.00474 | -0.23575 | 4 |
| KACP | 'CITY OF HIGGINSVILLE 69KV' | 36 | -0.24049 | KACP | 'IATAN 345KV' | 396 | -0.00378 | -0.23671 | 4 |
| KACP | 'CITY OF HIGGINSVILLE 69KV' | 36 | -0.24049 | KACP | 'LACYGNE UNIT 345KV' | 962 | -0.00432 | -0.23617 | 4 |
| KACP | 'CITY OF HIGGINSVILLE 69KV' | 36 | -0.24049 | KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 353.6914 | -0.00673 | -0.23376 | 4 |
| KACP | 'BULL CREEK 161KV' | 308 | -0.00461 | KACP | 'MARSHALL 161 KV ' | 30 | 0.06905 | -0.07366 | 13 |
| KACP | 'GARDNER 161KV' | 11 | -0.00466 | KACP | 'MARSHALL 161 KV ' | 30 | 0.06905 | -0.07371 | 13 |
| KACP | 'GRAND AVENUE 161KV' | 65 | -0.00476 | KACP | 'MARSHALL 161 KV ' | 30 | 0.06905 | -0.07381 | 13 |
| KACP | 'HAWTHORN 161KV' | 59.29614 | -0.00474 | KACP | 'MARSHALL 161 KV ' | 30 | 0.06905 | -0.07379 | 13 |
| KACP | 'MONTROSE 161KV' | 27.30858 | -0.00673 | KACP | 'MARSHALL 161 KV ' | 30 | 0.06905 | -0.07578 | 13 |
| KACP | 'NORTHEAST 13KV' | 56 | -0.00476 | KACP | 'MARSHALL 161 KV ' | 30 | 0.06905 | -0.07381 | 13 |
| KACP | 'NORTHEAST 13KV' | 56 | -0.00476 | KACP | 'MARSHALL 161 KV ' | 30 | 0.06905 | -0.07381 | 13 |
| KACP | 'NORTHEAST 13KV' | 58 | -0.00476 | KACP | 'MARSHALL 161 KV ' | 30 | 0.06905 | -0.07381 | 13 |
| KACP | 'NORTHEAST 13KV' | 59 | -0.00476 | KACP | 'MARSHALL 161 KV ' | 30 | 0.06905 | -0.07381 | 13 |
| KACP | 'NORTHEAST 161KV' | 55 | -0.00476 | KACP | 'MARSHALL 161 KV ' | 30 | 0.06905 | -0.07381 | 13 |
| KACP | 'NORTHEAST 161KV' | 58 | -0.00476 | KACP | 'MARSHALL 161 KV ' | 30 | 0.06905 | -0.07381 | 13 |
| KACP | 'NORTHEAST 161KV' | 58 | -0.00476 | KACP | 'MARSHALL 161 KV ' | 30 | 0.06905 | -0.07381 | 13 |
| KACP | 'NORTHEAST 161KV' | 58 | -0.00476 | KACP | 'MARSHALL 161 KV ' | 30 | 0.06905 | -0.07381 | 13 |
| KACP | 'PAOLA COMBUSTION TURBINES 161KY | 77 | -0.00464 | KACP | 'MARSHALL 161KV' | 30 | 0.06905 | -0.07369 | 13 |

Maximum Decrement and Maximum Increment were determine from the Souce and Sink Operating Points in the study models where limiting facility was identified.
Factor $=$ Source GSF - Sink GSF
Redispatch Amount $=$ Relief Amount $/$ Factor


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Start and Stop Dates are determined based on customers choosing option to pursue redispatch to start service at Requested Start and Stop Dates or earliest date possible.

